arXiv:math/0509267v1 [math.DG] 12 Sep 2005

THE INDEFINITE METRIC OF R.MRUGALA AND THE GEOMETRY OF THE THERMODYNAMICAL PHASE SPACE

SERGE PRESTON AND JAMES VARGO

Abstract. We study the indefinite metric G in the contact phase space (P,θ) of a homogeneous thermodynamical system introduced by R. Mrugala. We calculate the curvature tensor, Killing vector fields, second fundamental form of Legendre submanifolds of P - constitutive surfaces of different homogeneous thermodynamical systems. We established an isomorphism of the space (P,θ,G) with the Heisenberg Lie group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact structure and the right invariant indefinite metric. The lift $\tilde{G}$ of the metric G to the symplectization $\tilde{P}$ of contact space (P,θ), its curvature properties, and its Killing vector fields are studied. Finally we introduce the "hyperbolic projectivization" of the space $(\tilde{P},\tilde{\theta},\tilde{G})$ that can be considered as the natural **compactification** of the thermodynamical phase space (P,θ,G).

[1]

1. Introduction.

Geometrical methods in the study of homogeneous thermodynamical systems pioneered by J.Gibbs ([9]) and C.Caratheodory ([7]). They were further developed in the works of R.Hermann ([12]), R.Mrugala, P.Salamon and their collaborators, in the dissertations of H.Heemeyer ([11]) and L.Benayoun ([3]) to mention just a few. Thermodynamical metrics (TD-metrics) in the form of the Hessian of a thermodynamical potential were explicitly introduced by F.Weinhold ([34]) and, from a different point of view, by G.Ruppeiner ([28]).

Deeper studies by P.Salamon and his collaborators, by P.Mrugala and H.Janyszek (see [4, 14, 15, 19, 21, 20, 23, 32, 30, 31], esp. review papers [8, 22, 29]) clarified principal properties of thermodynamical metrics, relations between different TD-metrics, and their relations to the contact structure of equilibrium thermodynamical phase space.

G. Ruppeiner (see [28] and the review [29]) has developed a covariant thermodynamical fluctuation theory based on the Riemannian metric η_S defined by the second momenta of entropy with respect to the fluctuations and related the curvature of this metric to the correlational volume near the critical point.

In his work [21] (see also the review paper [22]) R.Mrugala introduced the pseudo-Riemannian (indefinite) metric G of signature $(n+1,n)$ in the thermodynamical contact space (P,θ) inducing TD metrics on the constitutive surfaces defined by different thermodynamical potentials (Weinhold metric for the internal energy and Ruppeiner metric for the entropy).

In the present work we study geometrical properties of the metric G and its relation to the contact structure θ of the thermodynamical phase space (TPS) (P,θ).

[1] [2000]Primary: 53C50; Secondary: 53D10,74A15

In Sec.2 we introduce the (model) thermodynamical phase space P with its contact structure θ. In Section 3 we represent TPS P as the 1-jet space of the trivial line bundle (Gibbs space) over the manifold X of **extensive variables** of TPS. This allows us to define Legendre submanifolds Σ_ϕ (constitutive surfaces of different thermodynamical systems with given TPS P) corresponding to a thermodynamical potential $\phi \in C^\infty(X)$, in their canonical representation (see [1]).

In Section 4 we recall the definitions of the Weinhold and Ruppeiner metrics.

In Section 5 we introduce the indefinite Mrugala metric G, a canonical non-holonomic frame (X_i, P_j, ξ). Then we show the compatibility of the metric G with the contact structure θ in a sense that is natural, though different from the conventional definition used for Riemannian metrics (see [5]).

In Section 6 we determine the Levi-Civita connection Γ of the metric G and work out the formulas (6.4) for covariant derivatives of the vector fields of the frame (X_i, P_j, ξ).

In Section 7 we find the Ricci tensor, scalar curvature $R(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ of metric G, and the curvature transformation $R(X, Y)$ in terms of the frame (X_i, P_j, ξ).

In Section 8 we determine the sectional curvatures of the planes generated by couples of vectors of the frame $(\partial_{p_i}, \partial_{x^j}, \xi = \partial_{x^0})$. These curvatures (whenever they are defined) are all zero except for the plane $P_i \wedge \partial_{x^i}$ which has curvature $\frac{3}{4}$.

In Section 9 the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_G$ of Killing vectors of metric G is determined. It is shown that $\mathfrak{iso}_G$ is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times h_n$ - the semidirect product of the linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ embedded into the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ (with generators $\{Q^k_l = p_l \partial_{p_l} - x^k \partial_{x^k}\}$) and of the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ with generators $\{\xi = \partial_{x^0}, A_i = \partial_{p_i} + x^i \partial_{x^0}, B_j = -\partial_{x^j}\}$ and commutative relations (9.3-4).

In Section 10 we calculate the second fundamental form of constitutive surfaces (Legendre submanifolds) of contact manifold (P, θ).

In Sec.11 the constitutive hypersurface $x^0 + \sum_i p_i x^i = 0$, defined by the homogeneity condition of thermodynamical potentials (see [6]) is introduced and studied.

In Section 12 we establish the isomorphism of the TPS (P, θ, G) with the Heisenberg group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact structure and right invariant indefinite metric. More specifically, we prove the following

Theorem 1. *The diffeomorphism χ defined by*

$$\chi: \ g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} & z \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to m = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ \bar{b} \\ \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$

determines an isomorphism of the "thermodynamical metric contact manifold" (P, θ, G) with the Heisenberg group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact from θ_H and the right invariant metric G_H of signature $(n+1, n)$.

In Part II of the paper we study the **symplectization** $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{G})$ of the contact manifold (P, θ, G) with the indefinite metric G. We lift G to the indefinite (of signature (n+1,n+1)) metric $\tilde{G}$, calculate the Levi-Civita connection, the Ricci tensor, and the scalar curvature $R(\tilde{G}) = (n+1)(n+2)$ of $\tilde{G}$. We get, in particular, that $Ric(\tilde{G}) = \frac{n+2}{2}\tilde{G}$, i.e. $\tilde{G}$ is an indefinite (pseudo-Riemannian) Einstein metric.

In Section 16 and in the Appendix we determine the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_{\tilde{G}}$ of the Killing vector fields of metric $\tilde{G}$. We prove that $\mathfrak{iso}_{\tilde{G}} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n+2, \mathbb{R})$.

In Section 19 we show that the contact metric space (P, ϕ, θ, ξ) endowed with the almost contact structure given by the (1,1)-tensor (see [21])

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1, \dots, p_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0_n & I_n \\ 0 & -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is a **Sasakian metric** in that the almost complex structure J defined on the space $\tilde{P}$ by the formula

$$J(X, f\partial_{p_0}) = (\phi(X) - f\xi, \eta(X))\partial_{p_0})$$

for any function $f \in C^\infty(\tilde{P})$ **is integrable**.

In Section 20 we construct the symplectomorphism of the "positive quadrant"

$$(\tilde{P}_+ = \{(p_i, x_i) \in \tilde{P} | p_i > 0\}, d\tilde{\theta})$$

of the manifold ($\tilde{P}, \tilde{\theta}$ is isomorphic to the product $\prod_{i=0}^{i=n} A_1^i$ of (n+1) copies of the affine group

$$A_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} e^t & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} | t, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

endowed with the symplectic structure generated by the right invariant 1-form $\sum_{i=0}^{i=n}(dz_i - z_i h_i^{-1} dh_i)$ on the Lie group $\prod_{i=0}^{i=n} A_1^i$.

Finally, in Section 21 we define the "hyperbolic projectivization" $(\hat{P} \simeq P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R}), \hat{\theta}, \hat{G})$ of the space $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{G})$ - a natural compactification of the TPS space (P, θ, G). This projectivization will be used for the study of geometrical properties of thermodynamical systems in the continuation of this work.

Part I.

2. The contact structure of homogeneous thermodynamics.

A phase space of the Homogeneous Thermodynamics (thermodynamical phase space, or TPS) is the (2n+1)-dimensional vector space $P = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ endowed with the standard contact structure ([2, 12])

$$\theta = dx^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_l dx^l. \tag{2.1}$$

The horizontal distribution of this structure is generated by two families of vector fields: P_i, X_i

$$D_m =< P_i = \partial_{p_l},\ X_i = \partial_{x^i} - p_i \partial_{x^0} > .$$

The 2-form

$$\omega = d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dp_l \wedge dx^l$$

is a nondegenerate, symplectic form on the distribution D.

The Reeb vector field, uniquely defined as the generator ξ of $ker(d\theta)$ satisfying $\theta(\xi) = 1$, is simply

$$\xi = \partial_{x^0}.$$

3. Gibbs space. Legendre surfaces of equilibrium.

Constitutive surfaces of concrete thermodynamical systems are determined by their "constitutive equations", which, in their fundamental form determine the value of a **thermodynamical potential** $x^0 = E(x^i)$ as the function of n extensive variables x^i. Dual intensive variables are determined then as the partial derivatives of the thermodynamical potential by the extensive variables: $p_i = \frac{\partial E}{\partial x^i}$.

Thus, a constitutive surface represents the **Legendre submanifold** (maximal integral submanifold) Σ_E of the contact form θ projecting diffeomorphically to the space X of variables x^i. Space Y of variables $x^0, x^i,\ i = 1, \dots, n$ is, sometimes, named the **Gibbs space** of the thermodynamical potential $E(x^i)$. Thermodynamical phase space (P, θ) (or, more precisely, its open subset) appears as the **first jet space** $J^1(Y \to X)$ of the (trivial) line bundle $\pi : Y \to X$. Projection of Σ_E to the Gibbs space Y is the **graph** Γ_E of the constitutive law $E = E(x^i)$.

Another choice of the thermodynamical potential together with the n-tuple of extensive variables leads to another representation of an open subset of TPS P as the 1-jet bundle of the corresponding Gibbs space. It is known that any Legendre submanifold of a contact form θ can locally be presented in this form for some choice of the set of extensive variables and of thermodynamical potential as the function of these variables.

We will be using a local description of Legendre submanifolds which takes a slightly different form. Let P^{2n+1} be a contact manifold. The following result characterizes (locally) all Legendre submanifolds (V.I. Arnold, [1, 2]).

Choose (local) Darboux coordinates (x^0, x^i, p_j) in which $\theta = dx^0 + p_k dx^k$. Let I, J be a partition of the set of indices $1, \dots, n$, and consider any function $\phi(p_i, x^j),\ i \in I, j \in J$. Then the following equations define a Legendre submanifold Σ_ϕ:

$$\begin{cases} x^0 &= \phi - \sum_{i \in I} p_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial p_i}, \\ p_j &= -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^j},\ j \in J, \\ x^i &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial p_i},\ i \in I. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, every Legendre submanifold is locally given by some choice of a splitting I, J and of a function $\phi(p_i, i \in I, x^j, j \in J)$.

In physics, the most commonly used thermodynamical potentials are: internal energy, entropy, free energy of Helmholtz, enthalpy and the free Gibbs energy.

On the intersection of the domains of these representations, corresponding points are related by a **Legendre transformations** (see [1]).

Example 1. As an example of such a thermodynamical system, consider the van der Waals gas - a system with two thermodynamical degrees of freedom. Space P is 5-dimensional (for 1 mole of gas) with the canonical variables $(U, (T, S), (-p, V))$ (internal energy,temperature, entropy, -pressure, volume), the contact form

$$\theta = dU - TdS + pdV,$$

and the fundamental constitutive law

$$U(S, V) = (V - b)^{\frac{R}{C_V}} e^{\frac{S}{c_V}} - \frac{a}{V},$$

where R is the Ridberg constant, c_V is the heat capacity at constant volume, a, b are parameters of the gas reflecting the interaction between molecules and the part of volume occupied by molecules respectively.

4. Thermodynamical metrics of Weinhold and Ruppeiner.

A thermodynamical metric g_U (the Weinhold metric) in the space X of extensive variables corresponding to the choice of internal energy U as the thermodynamical potential E was explicitly introduced by F.Weinhold (see [34]) as the Hessian of the internal energy $U(x^i)$

$$g_{U\ ij} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}, \tag{4.1}$$

G. Ruppeiner's metric g_S corresponding to the choice of entropy S as the thermodynamical potential E was defined by the same formula and intensively studied by Ruppeiner ([28]) in the framework of the fluctuational theory of thermodynamical systems.

Interest in these metrics is partly due to the fact that the definiteness of g_U (positive or negative) at a point $x \in X$ of the of the constitutive surface delivers the local criteria of stability of the equilibria given by the corresponding point of the surface Γ_E or Σ_E (see [6]).

Later both of these metrics were studied by P.Salomon and his collaborators and by R.Mrugala and H.Janyszek (see ref.). Geometrical properties of these metrics were studied for TD systems with small degree of freedom (small n). An interesting and important in applications meaning was assigned to the length of curves ("processes") in the space X ([8, 30, 31]). It was suggested that the curvature of these metrics is related to the interactions in the (microscopical) system, and that singularities of the scalar curvature of these metrics were related to the properties of system near the phase transition and the triple point of the thermodynamical system ([28, 29]).

5. Indefinite thermodynamical metric G of R. Mrugala.

In the paper [21], R.Mrugala defined a pseudo-Riemannian (indefinite) metric G of signature $(n+1, n)$ in the thermodynamical contact space (P, θ). It is given by the formula:

$$G = 2dp_k \odot dx^k + \theta \otimes \theta, \tag{5.1}$$

where $dp_k \odot dx^k = \frac{1}{2}(dp_k \otimes dx^k + dx^k \otimes dp_k)$ is the symmetrical product of 1-forms.

Its physical motivation is two-fold. First, it was derived by means of statistical mechanics. Second, its reduction to the Legendre submanifolds Σ_ϕ corresponding to the choice of entropy or internal energy as the TD potential coincides with the previously studied Ruppeiner and Weinhold metrics η_ϕ. Indeed, let $\phi = S$ or U, and form the Legendre submanifold Σ_ϕ of the following type:

$$x^0 = \phi(x^1, \ldots, x^n) \qquad p_k = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^k}.$$

In the coordinates $\{x^k\}$, the restriction of G to Σ_ϕ has components

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}.$$

In coordinates $x^0; p_s; x^i$, metric G is given by the following matrix:

$$G = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & p_j \\ 0 & 0 & I_n \\ p_i & I_n & p_i p_j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2}$$

It is easy to see that

$$det(G) = (-1)^n, \tag{5.3}$$

therefore metric G is non-degenerate.

The inverse (covariant) metric to G is given by

$$G^{-1} = (G^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p_j & 0 \\ -p_i & 0 & I_n \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.4}$$

5.1. **Non-holonomic frame (ξ, P_i, X_i).** It is convenient to introduce the following non-holonomic frame of the tangent bundle $T(P)$ (see [16])

$$\xi = \partial_{x^0},\ P_l = \partial_{p_l},\ X_i = \partial_{x^i} - p_i\partial_{x^0} \tag{5.5}$$

whose only nonzero commutator relation is

$$[P_i, X_j] = -\delta_{ij}\xi.$$

In this frame the metric G takes the simple form

$$G = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_n \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.6}$$

The dual coframe of the frame (5.5) is given by

$$(\theta, dp_l, dx^i). \tag{5.7}$$

Using the frame (5.5) one can easily find positive and negative sub-bundles of tangent bundle $T(P)$ - they are generated, correspondingly, by the tangent vectors

$$T_+ =< \partial^{x^0}, \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{p_l} + \partial_{x^l} - p_l\partial_{x^0}) >,\ T_- =< \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{p_l} - \partial_{x^l} + p_l\partial_{x^0}) > . \tag{5.8}$$

In this basis, metric G takes the standard indefinite form

$$\bar{G} = (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.9}$$

In terms of this frame the light cone at each point $m \in P$ is given by the standard quadric, i.e. $X = f\xi + g^k P_k + h^s X_s$ belongs to the light cone at a point m if and only if

$$f^2 + 2\sum_k g^k h^k = 0.$$

5.2. **Compatibility of contact structure and metric G.** The notion of compatibility between an almost contact structure and a Riemannian metric that was introduced by Sasaki has become a classical notion (see [5]). We recall here that an **almost contact structure** on a manifold M^{2n+1} is defined by a triple (θ, ξ, ϕ) of a 1-form θ, a vector field ξ and a (1,1)-tensor field ϕ satisfying the conditions

$$\phi^2 = -I + \theta \otimes \xi;\ \theta(\xi) = 1. \tag{5.10}$$

From these properties the subsequent relations follow

$$\phi(\xi) = 0;\ \theta \circ \phi = 0;\ rank(\phi) = 2n.$$

A Riemannian metric g is said to be **compatible with the almost contact structure** (θ, ξ, ϕ) (or associated with it) if

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \theta(X)\theta(Y) \tag{5.11}$$

for all tangent vectors X, Y at all points $m \in M$ (see [5]).

It is known that any almost contact structure admits a (far from being unique) compatible Riemannian metric,[5].

For a contact manifold $(M\theta)$ the condition of compatibility above is equivalent to the following two conditions taken together: 1) on the contact distribution $D = ker(\theta)$ ϕ is a g-orthogonal transformation, and 2) ξ is g-orthogonal to D.

In a case of an **indefinite metric** g on (M^{2n+1}, θ), the compatibility condition (5.11) should be modified if we would like to incorporate even the most simple indefinite metric

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defined in standard 3D contact space $(\mathbb{R}^3, x^0, p_1, x^1)$ with the contact form $\theta = dx^0 + p_1 dx^1$. It is sufficient to check the condition for two basic horizontal vectors $X = \partial_{x^1} - p_1 \partial_{x^0},\ P = \partial_{p_1}$.

We have $g(X, Y) = 1$ and $\phi(X) = -X, \phi(P) = P$, so that $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -1$. Thus, condition (5.11) is not fulfilled.

For the Mrugala metric, the $(1,1)$-tensor ϕ of the associated almost contact structure has the form (see [21])

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1, \ldots, p_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0_n & I_n \\ 0 & -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.12}$$

We have

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \phi(X_i) = P_i, \phi(P_k) = -X_k$$

and

$$G(\phi(X_i), \phi(P_j)) = G(P_i, -X_j) = -\delta_{ij},$$

while $G(X_i, P_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Thus, the Mrugala metric does not satisfy the conventional compatibility condition with the contact structure.

In the forthcoming paper [27] we analyze the situation of an indefinite metric determined on a contact manifold and suggest a definition of compatibility suited for indefinite metrics of arbitrary signature. In particular, for the standard 3D metric above and for the Mrugala metric G this definition reads as

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \theta(X)\theta(Y), \tag{5.13}$$

which is satisfied by both of these metrics.

6. Levi-Civita Connection.

In this section, we will compute the Christoffel connection coefficients $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ and the covariant derivatives of vector fields of the frame (5.5) with respect to the vector fields of the same frame.

For calculation of the Christoffel coefficients we define combinations

$$\{\alpha\beta,\, \gamma\} = G_{\alpha\gamma,\,\beta} + G_{\beta\gamma,\,\alpha} - G_{\alpha\beta,\,\gamma}$$

symmetrical by $(\alpha\beta)$.

With this notation, the formula for the connection coefficients is ([17])

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} G^{\alpha s}\{\beta\gamma,\, s\}$$

First, note that the only nonzero derivatives of the metric G are given by:

$$G_{x^i 0, p_j} = G_{0x^i,\, p_j} = \delta_{ij},\ G_{x^i x^j, p_k} = \delta_{ki} p_j + \delta_{kj} p_i.$$

This implies that the only nonzero combinations $\{\alpha\beta,\, \gamma\}$ are the following ones:

$$\{0x^i,\, p_j\} = -\delta_{ij};\ \{0p_j,\, x^i\} = \delta_{ij};\ \{x^i p_j,\, 0\} = \delta_{ij};$$

$$\{x^i x^j,\, p_k\} = -\left(\delta_{ik} p_j + \delta_{jk} p_i\right);\ \{x^i p_j,\, x^k\} = \delta_{ij} p_k + \delta_{kj} p_i.$$

Now we will calculate the connection coefficients.

For any α, $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{00} = 0$.

Next, $\Gamma^{0}_{0\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2} p_k \{0\gamma,\, p_k\}$. And this quantity is 0 unless $\gamma = x^i$, in which case, we obtain $\Gamma^{0}_{0x^i} = \frac{1}{2} p_i$.

In the next case, we consider $\beta \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$

$$\Gamma^{0}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}[\{\beta\gamma, 0\} - p_k \{\beta\gamma, p_k\}].$$

So we obtain:

$$\Gamma^{0}_{x^i p_j} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij},$$

$$\Gamma^{0}_{x^i x^j} = \frac{1}{2} p_k \left(\delta_{ik} p_j + \delta_{jk} pi\right) = p_i p_j,$$

$$\Gamma^{0}_{p_i p_j} = 0.$$

The next case to consider is when $\beta = 0$, and the other indices are nonzero. Then we have:

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{0\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}[G^{\alpha p_k}\{0\gamma, p_k\} + G^{\alpha x^l}\{0\gamma, x^l\}].$$

If $\alpha = p_i$, then we get $\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_l \{0\gamma, x^l\} = \frac{1}{2}\{0\gamma, x^i\}$. This is $\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j$ if $\gamma = p_j$,and is zero otherwise.

If $\alpha = x^i$, then we get $\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_k \{0\gamma, p_k\} = \frac{1}{2}\{0\gamma, p_i\}$. If $\gamma = x^j$, then this is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j$. Otherwise it is zero.

The next case is when the upper index is p_i, and the lower indices are nonzero.

$$\Gamma^{p_i}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}[-p_i\{\beta\gamma, 0\} + \{\beta\gamma, x^i\}].$$

The only nonzero term comes when $\beta\gamma = x^j p_k$. So we obtain:

$$\Gamma^{p_i}_{x^j p_k} = \frac{1}{2}[-p_i \delta^j_k + \delta^j_k p_i + \delta^i_k p_j] = \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_k p_j.$$

The final case is the one in which the upper index is x^i and the lower indices are nonzero.

$$\Gamma^{x^i}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}\{\beta\gamma, p_i\}$$

This is only nonzero if $\beta\gamma = x^j x^k$, and in this case, we get

$$\Gamma^{x^i}_{x^j x^k} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^i_j p_k + \delta^i_k p_j\right).$$

To summarize, the **nonzero** Christoffel coefficients are given by:

$$\Gamma^0_{0x^i} = \frac{1}{2}p_i;\ \Gamma^0_{x^ip_j} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij};\ \Gamma^0_{x^ix^j} = p_ip_j;\ \Gamma^{p_i}_{0p_j} = \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j;\ \Gamma^{x^i}_{0x^j} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j;$$
$$\Gamma^{p_i}_{x^jp_k} = \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_kp_j;\ \Gamma^{x^i}_{x^jx^k} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^i_jp_k + \delta^i_kp_j\right). \quad (6.1)$$

Finally, we calculate the trace 1-form γ of the connection Γ (see [26]), whose components in the coordinate coframe dx^j, dp_j are given by $\gamma_\alpha = \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta}$.

$$\gamma_0 = \Gamma^{p_i}_{0p_i} + \Gamma^{x^i}_{0x^i} = \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_i - \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_i = 0$$

$$\gamma_{p_j} = \Gamma^0_{p_jo} + \Gamma^{x^i}_{p_jx^i} + \Gamma^{p_l}_{p_jp_l} = 0$$

$$\gamma_{x^j} = \Gamma^0_{x^j0} + \Gamma^{p_i}_{x^jp_i} + \Gamma^{x^i}_{x^jx^i} = \frac{1}{2}p_j + \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_ip_j - \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^i_jp_i + \delta^i_ip_j\right) = 0$$

So in the coframe θ, dx^j, dp_j, $\gamma = 0$ (recall that the form γ depends on the choice of a coordinate system - in other coordinates, the form γ differs from zero by the differential df of a function (see [26]).

It is helpful for the future calculations to point out that the covariant derivative of the connection Γ takes a particularly simple form when expressed in the non-holonomic basis:

$$\xi = \partial_{x^0}, P_i = \partial_{p_i}, X_i = \partial_{x^i} - p_i\xi,$$

defined above.

Let G be any pseudo-Riemannian metric. Then, for any vector fields X, Y, Z, the Levi-Civita connection of metric G satisfies:

$$YG(X,Z) = G(\nabla_YX, Z) + G(X, \nabla_YZ);$$
$$ZG(X,Y) = G(\nabla_ZX, Y) + G(X, \nabla_ZY);$$
$$XG(Y,Z) = G(\nabla_XY, Z) + G(Y, \nabla_XZ).$$

If we add the first two equations and subtract the third, the result is:

$$2G(X, \nabla_YZ) = YG(X,Z)+ZG(X,Y)-XG(Y,Z)+G(Y,[X,Z])+G(Z,[X,Y])+G(X,[Y,Z]). \quad (6.2)$$

Here we have used the fact that the Levi-Civita connection is symmetric; that is, $\nabla_XY - \nabla_YX = [X,Y]$ for all X, Y.

In taking X, Y, Z from the vectors of the frame $\{\xi, P_i, X_j\}$, scalar products $G(X,Y), G(Y,Z), G(Z,X)$ will all be constant; therefore, the first three terms on the right side of (6.2) will vanish, leaving:

$$2G(X, \nabla_YZ) = G(Y,[X,Z]) + G(Z,[X,Y]) + G(X,[Y,Z]). \quad (6.3)$$

Among the basic vectors, the only pair of vectors with non-zero Lie-bracket is $[P_i, X_j] = -\delta_{ij}\xi$. It follows that if we substitute basic vectors into the equation above, the right side will equal zero unless two of the vectors are P_i and X_j, respectively. Since their bracket is proportional to ξ, which is orthogonal to the contact distribution, the third vector must be ξ. In particular, we immediately obtain the following relations:

$$\nabla_\xi\xi = 0, \nabla_{P_i}P_j = 0, \nabla_{X_i}X_j = 0.$$

Additionally, we see that the only nonzero component of $\nabla_{P_i} X_j$ is the ξ component, which is found by:

$$2G(\xi, \nabla_{P_i} X_j) = G(\xi, \delta_{ij}\xi) = -\delta_{ij}.$$

Therefore, $\nabla_{P_i} X_j = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\xi$. Note that interchanging P_i with X_j changes the sign in the right side: $\nabla_{X_j} P_i = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\xi$.

The next equation to consider is:

$$2G(P_i, \nabla_\xi X_j) = G(\xi, [P_i, X_j]) = -\delta_{ij}.$$

It follows that $\nabla_\xi X_j = -\frac{1}{2}X_j$. Interchanging the roles of P_i, X_j yields $\nabla_\xi P_i = \frac{1}{2}P_i$. By the symmetry of the connection, $\nabla_{X_j}\xi = \nabla_\xi X_j$ and $\nabla_{P_i}\xi = \nabla_\xi P_i$ since $[\xi, P_i] = 0$.

In summary, the curvature derivatives of the connection Γ in the (canonical) frame (5.5) are given by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\xi \xi = 0, \nabla_{P_i} P_j = 0, \nabla_{X_i} X_j = 0; \\ \nabla_\xi P_i = \nabla_{P_i}\xi = \frac{1}{2}P_i; \\ \nabla_\xi X_j = \nabla_{X_j}\xi = -\frac{1}{2}X_j; \\ -\nabla_{P_i} X_j = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\xi = \nabla_{X_i} P_j. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

7. Ricci and scalar curvatures.

In this section, we calculate the Ricci tensor and the full curvature tensor in the form of a transformation of the tangent bundle.

Recall the formula for the components of the Ricci tensor ([17]):

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha,\mu} - \Gamma^\mu_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{\beta\alpha} - \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{\mu\alpha} \tag{7.1}$$

The Ricci tensor of a Riemannian space is symmetric. In addition to this, by the remarks above, the result of contracting an upper and lower index of the Christoffel coefficients Γ^i_{jk} is zero for our metric G. Therefore the middle two terms in the right side of the above formula are zero. So for the present calculations, we may use the formula:

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\alpha,\mu} - \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{\mu\alpha};$$

$$R_{00} = -\Gamma^\mu_{0\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{\mu 0} = -\left(\Gamma^\mu_{0p_i}\Gamma^{p_i}_{\mu 0} + \Gamma^\mu_{0x^i}\Gamma^{x^i}_{\mu 0}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{4}\delta^j_i\delta^i_j + \frac{1}{4}\delta^j_i\delta^i_j\right) = -\frac{n}{2};$$

$$R_{0p_i} = -\Gamma^\mu_{p_i\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{\mu 0} = -\left(\Gamma^\mu_{p_i 0}\Gamma^0_{\mu 0} + \Gamma^\mu_{p_i x^j}\Gamma^{x^j}_{\mu 0}\right) = 0;$$

$$R_{0x^i} = -\Gamma^\mu_{x^i\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{\mu 0} = -\left(\Gamma^{p_j}_{x^i\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{p_j 0} + \Gamma^{x^j}_{x^i\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{x^j 0}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta^j_k p_i \frac{1}{2}\delta^k_j + \Gamma^{x^j}_{x^i\gamma}\Gamma^\gamma_{x^j 0}\right) =$$

$$= -\left(\frac{1}{4}np_i + \Gamma^{x^j}_{x^i x^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{x^j 0} + \Gamma^{x^j}_{x^i 0}\Gamma^0_{x^j 0}\right) = -\left(\frac{n}{4}p_i + \frac{1}{4}\delta^k_j\left(\delta^j_i p_k + \delta^j_k p_i\right) + -\frac{1}{4}\delta^j_i p_j\right) =$$

$$= -\left(\frac{n}{4}p_i + \frac{1}{4}[p_i + np_i] - \frac{1}{4}p_i\right) = -\frac{n}{2}p_i;$$

$$R_{p_ip_j} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{p_j\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\mu p_i} = -\left(\Gamma^{\mu}_{p_j0}\Gamma^{0}_{\mu p_i} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{p_jx^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{\mu p_i}\right) = -\Gamma^{0}_{p_jx^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{0p_i} = 0;$$

$$R_{p_ix^j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta^k_i p_j\right) - \Gamma^{\mu}_{x^j\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\mu p_i} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} - \left(\Gamma^{0}_{x^j\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{0p_i} + \Gamma^{x^k}_{x^j\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{x^kp_i}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} - \left(\frac{1}{4}\delta_{jk}\delta^k_i + \Gamma^{x^k}_{x^j0}\Gamma^{0}_{x^kp_i}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} - \left(\frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{4}\delta^k_j\delta_{ki}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij};$$

$$R_{x^ix^j} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{x^j\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\mu x^i} = -\left(\Gamma^{\mu}_{x^j0}\Gamma^{0}_{\mu x^i} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{x^jp_k}\Gamma^{p_k}_{\mu x^i} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{x^jx^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{\mu x^i}\right) =$$

$$= -\left(\Gamma^{0}_{x^j0}\Gamma^{0}_{0x^i} + \Gamma^{x^k}_{x^j0}\Gamma^{0}_{x^kx^i} + \Gamma^{p_r}_{x^jp_k}\Gamma^{p_k}_{p_rx^i} + \Gamma^{0}_{x^jx^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{0x^i} + \Gamma^{x^r}_{x^jx^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{x^rx^i}\right) =$$

$$= -\left(\frac{1}{4}p_ip_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta^k_j p_kp_i + \frac{1}{4}\delta^r_k p_j\delta^k_r p_i + -\frac{1}{2}\delta^k_i p_jp_k + \frac{1}{4}\left(\delta^r_j p_k + \delta^r_k p_j\right)\left(\delta^k_r p_i + \delta^k_i p_r\right)\right) =$$

$$= -\left(-\frac{3}{4}p_ip_j + \frac{n}{4}p_ip_j + \frac{1}{4}\left(p_ip_j + p_ip_j + np_ip_j + p_ip_j\right)\right) = -\frac{n}{2}p_ip_j.$$

As a result, the components of the symmetric Ricci tensor are given by:

$$R_{00} = -\frac{n}{2},\ R_{0p_i} = 0,\ R_{0x^i} = -\frac{n}{2}p_i,$$

$$R_{p_ip_j} = 0,\ R_{p_ix^j} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij},\ R_{x^ix^j} = -\frac{n}{2}p_ip_j.$$

To calculate the scalar curvature $G^{\alpha\beta}R_{\beta\alpha}.$, we contract the Ricci tensor

$$\mathcal{R} = G^{\alpha 0}R_{0\alpha} + G^{\alpha p_i}R_{p_i\alpha} + G^{\alpha x^i}R_{x^i\alpha} =$$

$$= (R_{00} - p_kR_{0p_k}) + \left(-p_iR_{p_i0} + R_{p_ix^i}\right) + R_{x^ip_i} = -\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2}.$$

In summary, we have the following results:

Proposition 1. *Metric G has the Ricci Tensor*

$$Ric(G) = (R_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n}{2} & 0 & -\frac{n}{2}p_j \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} \\ -\frac{n}{2}p_i & \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} & -\frac{n}{2}p_ip_j \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.2}$$

and the constant scalar curvature

$$\mathcal{R}(G) = \frac{n}{2}.$$

To calculate the full curvature tensor recall that the curvature transformation $R(X,Y) : T(P) \to T(P)$ for vector fields X, Y is given by

$$R(X,Y) = \nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_Y\nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}.$$

Note that R is antisymmetric in X and Y.

Using formulas (6.4) for the covariant derivatives of basic vectors of the frame (5.5) with respect to other basic vectors of the same frame we get the action of the curvature transformation $R(X,Y)$ with the couples (X,Y) of basic vectors on all the vectors of the frame (5.5).

$$R(\xi, P_i) : \xi \to \frac{1}{4}P_i; \quad P_j \to 0; \quad X_j \to -\frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij}\xi;$$

$$R(\xi, X_i) : \xi \to \frac{1}{4}X_i; \quad P_j \to -\frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij}\xi; \quad X_j \to 0$$
$$R(P_i, P_j) : \xi \to 0; \quad P_k \to 0; \quad X_k \to \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{ik}P_j - \delta_{jk}P_i)$$
$$R(P_i, X_j) : \xi \to 0; \quad P_k \to \frac{1}{4}\delta_{jk}P_i + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}P_k; \quad X_k \to -\frac{1}{4}\delta_{ik}X_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}X_k$$
$$R(X_i, X_j) : \xi \to 0; \quad P_k \to \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{ik}X_j - \delta_{jk}X_i); \quad X_k \to 0.$$

By the antisymmetry properties of the curvature tensor R, this determines the full tensor R^i_{jkl}.

8. Sectional curvatures.

Using the above calculations of the curvature transformations $R(X, Y)$ we calculate, in the frame $(\xi, P_i = \partial_{p_i}, \partial_{x^i})$, the sectional curvatures of the nondegenerate planes generated by couples of these vectors.

Given tangent vectors A and B spanning a surface in $T_m(P)$, the sectional curvature they determine is given by the formula ([17, 26])

$$R(m, A \wedge B) = \frac{G_m(R(A \wedge B)B, A))}{|A \wedge B|^2} \tag{8.1}$$

where $|A \wedge B|^2 = G(A, A)G(B, B) - G(A, B)G(B, A)$.

We calculate the sectional curvatures corresponding to the planes generated by the following pairs of tangent vectors at a point $m \in P$: $(\xi, P_i), (\xi, \partial_{x^i}), (P_i, P_j), (\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j}), (P_i, \partial_{x^j})$.

1. (ξ, P_i)

$$R(\xi, P_i)P_i = 0 \Rightarrow R(m, \xi \wedge P_i) = 0.$$

2. (ξ, ∂_{x^i})

$$R(\xi, \partial_{x^i})\partial_{x^i} = R(\xi, X_i + p_i\xi)(X_i + p_i\xi) = R(\xi, X_i)(+p_i\xi) = +\frac{p_i}{4}X_i$$

Therefore,

$$G(R(\xi, \partial_{x^i})\partial_{x^i}, \xi) = 0 \ \Rightarrow R(m, \xi \wedge \partial_{x^i}) = 0.$$

3. (P_i, P_j)

$$R(P_i, P_j)P_j = 0 \ \Rightarrow R(m, P_i \wedge P_j) = 0.$$

4. $(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j})$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j})\partial_{x^j} &= R(X_i + p_i\xi, X_j + p_j\xi)(X_j + p_j\xi) = \\ &= p_j R(X_i, \xi)((X_j + p_j\xi) + p_i R(\xi, X_j)(X_j + p_j\xi) = \\ &= -\frac{p_j^2}{4}X_i + \frac{p_i p_j}{4}X_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$G(R(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j})\partial_{x^j}, \partial_{x^i}) = 0 \ \Rightarrow R(m, \partial_{x^j} \wedge \partial_{x^j}) = 0.$$

5. (P_i, ∂_{x^j})

$$\begin{aligned} R(P_i, \partial_{x^j})\partial_{x^j} &= R(P_i, X_j + p_j\xi)(X_j + p_j\xi) = \\ &= R(P_i, X_j)X_j + p_j R(P_i, \xi)X_j + p_j^2 R(P_i, \xi)\xi = \\ &= -\frac{3}{4}\delta_{ij}X_j + \frac{p_j}{4}\delta_{ij}\xi - \frac{p_j^2}{4}P_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G(R(P_i, \partial_{x^j})\partial_{x^j}, P_i) = -\frac{3}{4}\delta_{ij}$.

For the denominator we have $G(P_i, P_i)G(\partial_{x^j}, \partial_{x^j}) - G(P_i, \partial_{x^j})G(\partial_{x^j}, P_i) = -\delta_{ij}$.

Therefore, if $i = j$, the sectional curvature equals to $3/4$:

$$R(m, P_i \wedge \partial_{x^i}) = \frac{3}{4}.$$

When $i \neq j$, the sectional curvature is not defined since the metric on the corresponding surface is degenerate (zero).

Remark 1. Notice that the only nonzero (positive !) sectional curvature in this basis happens to be exactly at the planes corresponding to the couples of conjugate variables (p_i, x^i).

9. Killing vector fields, isotropy Lie algebra.

In this section we calculate Killing vector fields for the metric G and, correspondingly, determine the Lie algebra of the isotropy group of metric G.

Recall that such fields are defined by the condition $\mathcal{L}_X G = 0$. On the other hand for all Y, Z,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X G)(Y, Z) = XG(Y, Z) - G([X, Y], Z) - G(Y, [X, Z]).$$

From Section 7, formula (12), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(X, \nabla_Y Z) &= YG(Z, X) + ZG(X, Y) - XG(Y, Z) \\ &+G(Y, [X, Z]) + G([X, Y], Z) + G(X, [Y, Z]) \end{aligned}$$

$$= YG(Z, X) + ZG(Y, X) - (\mathcal{L}_X G)(Y, Z) + G(X, [Y, Z])$$

Since the connection is symmetric, $\mathcal{L}_X G = 0$ if and only if:

$$YG(X, Z) + ZG(X, Y) = G(X, \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_Z Y) \tag{9.1}$$

for all Y, Z. Notice that the condition $\mathcal{L}_X G(Y, Z) = 0$ is linear by Y, Z. Therefore, it is sufficient to ensure the fulfillment of this condition for couples of vector fields (Y, Z) from some frame on the manifold P.

To determine Killing fields X, let $X = f\xi + g^k P_k + h^k X_k$.

Make the following substitutions into the formula (9.1):

$$Y = \xi, Z = \xi; \quad Y = \xi, Z = P_i; \quad Y = \xi, Z = X_i$$
$$Y = P_i, Z = P_j; \quad Y = X_i, Z = X_j; \quad Y = P_i, Z = X_j$$

Using the formulas (6.4) for covariant derivatives of basic vectors along other basic vectors of basis (ξ, Z_i, P_j) we get the system of equations for coefficients of the vector field X:

1. $\xi f = 0$;
2. $h^i = P_i f + \xi h^i$;
3. $g^i = -X_i f - \xi g^i$;
4. $P_i h^j + P_j h^i = 0$;
5. $X_i g^j + X_j g^i = 0$;
6. $P_i g^j + X_j h^i = 0$.

We begin by examining the case when $f = \theta(X) = 0$.

Since ξ commutes with both P_i and X_j, we may apply it to equation 6 to obtain $P_i \xi g^j + X_j \xi h^i = 0$. By equations 2 and 3 this reduces to $P_i g^j - X_j h^i = 0$. Together with equation 6, this shows:

7. $P_i g^j = 0, \quad X_j h^i = 0.$

Now apply X_k to equation 4. Using the relation $[X_k, P_l] = -\delta_{kl}\xi$ and equations 7, we see that $0 = -\delta_{ik}\xi h^j - \delta_{jk}\xi h^i$. By equation 2, this gives $0 = \delta_{ik} h^j + \delta_{jk} h^i$. Setting $i = j = k$, we obtain $h^i = 0$.

Similarly, if we apply P_k to equation 5 and make the same reductions, the result is $g^i = 0$. Therefore, when $\theta(X) = 0$, we may conclude that $X = 0$.

Now consider the general case. It is easy to see that ξ satisfies the equations for a Killing vector field. Therefore, the Lie bracket $[\xi, X]$ must also be a Killing vector field. But by equation 1, $\theta([\xi, X]) = \xi f = 0$. So by the previous calculations, $[\xi, X] = 0$. But this proves that $\xi h^i = 0$, and $\xi g^i = 0$.

Hence equations 2 and 3 give explicit formulas for h^i and g^i in terms of f (a generating function). If we substitute these expressions into equations 4, 5, and 6, then 6 is satisfied automatically, while 4 and 5 yield:

$$P_i P_j f = 0, \quad X_i X_j f = 0.$$

Thus we see that f must have the form:

$$f = a + b_i x^i + c^k p_k + d_i^k x^i p_k$$

with constant coefficients a, b_i, c^k, d_k^i.

From equations 2 and 3, we obtain g^i and h^i:

$$g^i = b_i + d_i^k p_k;$$

$$h^i = -(c^i + d_k^i x^k).$$

As a result, the Lie algebra is generated by the vectors:

$$\xi;\ A_i = x^i \xi + P_i = \partial_{p_i} + x^i \partial_{x^0};\ B_j = p_j \xi - X_j = -\partial_{x^j},$$
$$Q_l^k = x^k p_l \xi + p_l P_k - x^k X_l = p_l \partial_{p_k} - x^k \partial_{x^l}. \quad (9.2)$$

These vector fields satisfy to the following nonzero commutator relations:

$$[A^i, B_j] = \delta_{ij}\xi, \quad [Q_l^k, A_i] = -\delta_{il} A_k, \quad [Q_l^k, B_j] = \delta_{kj} B_l, \quad (9.3)$$

$$[Q_l^k, Q_s^r] = \delta_{ks} Q_l^r - \delta_{rl} Q_s^k \quad (9.4)$$

As a result we get the following description of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_G$ of the isometry group $Iso(G)$ of the metric G

Proposition 2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_G$ of the isometry group $Iso(G)$ of the metric G is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \times h_n$ being the semidirect product of linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n,R)$ embedded into the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n,R)$ (with generators $\{Q_l^k\}$) and of the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ with generators $\{\xi, A_i, B_j\}$ and commutative relations (9.3-4). All these vector fields are θ-contact with the contact Hamiltonians $H_\xi = 1, H_{A_j} = p_j, H_{B_i} = x^i, H_{Q_l^k} = x^k p_l$ respectively (see* [2]*).*

10. Second fundamental form of Legendre surfaces.

Here we will calculate the second fundamental form $II(X,Y)$ of a Legendre submanifold Σ_ϕ at the points where metric $g_\phi = G|_{\Sigma_\phi}$ is nondegenerate.

Let I be any subset of the indices from 1 to n, and let J be the complementary subset. Consider a function $\phi(p_I, x^J)$ as in Sec.3 determining a Legendre submanifold. Throughout the calculation, indices i, i' will be assumed to be in I and indices j, j' - in J. Any other indices will be assumed to run through $1, \dots, n$. Also we will be using the following conventions in notation:

$$\phi_i = \partial_{p_i}\phi, \phi_j = \partial_{x^j}\phi$$

The Legendre submanifold Σ_ϕ is given, in these notations by the equations (3.1):

$$\begin{cases} x^0 &= \phi - p_i\phi_i, \\ p_j &= -\phi_j, \\ x^i &= \phi_i. \end{cases}$$

The metric $G = 2dp_k \odot dx^k + \theta^2$ restricted to this submanifold Σ_ϕ is given by $g = 2\phi_{ii'}dp_i \odot dp_{i'} - 2\phi_{jj'}dx^j \odot dx^{j'}$. Under the assumption that Σ_ϕ is nondegenerate at a given point (and, therefore, at some neighborhood of this point), the square matrices $\phi_{ii'}, \phi_{jj'}$ must be nonsingular. Let their inverses be given by $\phi^{ii'}, \phi^{jj'}$, respectively. Define, for this section, the entries ϕ^{ij} to be 0.

Correspondingly, the tangent space $T(\Sigma_\phi)$ to the surface Σ_ϕ at each point is generated by the vectors:

$$Y_i = \phi_*(\partial_{p_i}) = -p_{i'}\phi_{i'i}\partial_{x^0} + \partial_{p_i} - \phi_{ji}\partial_{p_j} + \phi_{i'i}\partial_{x^{i'}} = \partial_{p_i} - \phi_{ji}\partial_{p_j} + \phi_{i'i}(\partial_{x^{i'}} - p_{i'}\partial_{x^0}) = \\ = P_i - \phi_{ij}P_j + \phi_{ii'}X_{i'}, , \quad (10.1)$$

$$Y_j = \phi_*(\partial_{x^j}) = (\phi_j - p_i\phi_{ij})\partial_{x^0} + \partial_{x^j} - \phi_{j'j}\partial_{p_{j'}} + \phi_{ij}\partial_{x^i} = (\partial_{x^j} + \phi_j\partial_{x^0}) - \phi_{j'j}\partial_{p_{j'}} + \phi_{ij}(\partial_{x^i} - p_i\partial_{x^0}) = \\ = X_j + \phi_{ij}X_i - \phi_{jj'}P_{j'}. \quad (10.2)$$

We may simplify these expressions by defining vectors V_k and W_k by the following rules.

V_k is equal to $P_k = \partial_{p_k}$ if k belongs to I, and is equal to $X_k = -p_k\partial_{x^0} + \partial_{x^k}$ if k belongs to J.

W_k equals X_k when $k\epsilon I$ and equals $-P_k$ for $k\epsilon J$.

Then we see that the vectors above are given by one expression:

$$Y_k = V_k + \phi_{kl}W_l. \tag{10.3}$$

We also have the following useful relations for the scalar products of these functions

$$G(V_k, V_l) = G(W_k, W_l) = 0,\ G(V_k, W_l) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ks}, & \text{if } k, s \in I; \\ -\delta_{ks}, & \text{if } k, s \in J; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is then easy to check that the following vectors are orthogonal and complemental to Y_k:

$$\xi,\ Z_k = W_k - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{kl}Y_l. \tag{10.4}$$

To prove this we notice that ξ is obviously orthogonal Y_k being orthogonal to P_i, X_j. Presenting an arbitrary vector in D orthogonal to Y_k in the form $Z = a_lV_l + b_kW_k$ we find, using conditions of orthogonality, relations between coefficients

$$b_i = -\phi_{ii'}a_{i'} + \phi_{ij}a_j,\ b_j = \phi_{ji}a_i - \phi_{jj'}a_{j'},$$

and from this - the basic orthogonal vectors

$$Z_i = Y_i - 2\phi_{ii'}W_{i'},\ Z_j = Y_j - 2\phi_{jj'}W_{j'}.$$

Applying $\frac{1}{2}\phi^{il}$ to the right side of Z_i (and using the agreement that $\phi^{ij} = 0$) we get from Z_i the other basic vectors $\frac{1}{2}\phi^{ii'}Y_{i'} - W_i$. Changing sign and renaming these vectors Z_i we get the second set of orthogonal vectors in (10.4). In the same way we get Z_j of the form (10.4).

In section 6, we computed the connection in the basis ξ, P_k, X_l (see (6.4)). Referring to these calculations, it is simple to see the following relations:

$$\nabla_{V_k}V_l = 0, \nabla_{W_k}W_l = 0;$$

$$\nabla_{V_k}W_l = -\nabla_{W_k}V_l = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{kl}\xi.$$

Now we can easily calculate covariant derivatives of tangent vector fields Y_k with respect to Y_s:

$$\begin{gathered} \nabla_{Y_k}Y_l = \nabla_{(V_k+\phi_{kr}W_r)}(V_l + \phi_{ls}W_s) = \\ = V_k(\phi_{ls})W_s + \phi_{ls}\nabla_{V_k}W_s + \phi_{kr}\nabla_{W_r}(V_l + \phi_{ls}W_s) = \\ = V_k(\phi_{ls})W_s - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{ls}\delta_{ks}\xi + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{kr}\delta_{rl}\xi + \phi_{kr}W_r(\phi_{ls})W_s = \\ = V_k(\phi_{ls})W_s + \phi_{kr}W_r(\phi_{ls})W_s = \\ = Y_k(\phi_{ls})W_s = \phi_{lks}W_s. \end{gathered}$$

Expressed in the Y_k, Z_l basis, the result is:

$$\begin{gathered} \nabla_{Y_k}Y_l = \phi_{lks}(Z_s + \frac{1}{2}\phi^{sr}Y_r) = \\ = \frac{1}{2}\phi^{sr}\phi_{lks}Y_r + \phi_{lks}Z_s. \end{gathered}$$

The first term in the last line represent the covariant derivative $\nabla^{\Sigma}_{Y_k} Y_l$ on the submanifold Σ_ϕ with respect to the induced (thermodynamical) metric while the second term represents the second fundamental form of Σ_ϕ with respect to the Mrugala metric.

Namely we have proved the following

Proposition 3. *Let a Legendre submanifold Σ_ϕ of the contact manifold (P, θ) be defined by the equations*

$$\begin{cases} x^0 = \phi - \sum_{i\in I} p_i\phi_i, \\ p_j = -\phi_j, \\ x^i = \phi_i, \end{cases} \quad , \ i \in I, j \in J.$$

Then the second fundamental form $II(X, Y)$ of the submanifold Σ_ϕ is given by the expression

$$II(Y_k, Y_l) = \phi_{lks} Z_s,$$

where vector fields

$$Y_k = V_k + \phi_{kl} W_l$$

form the basis of tangent bundle of the submanifold Σ_ϕ (see above), vector fields $Z_k = W_k - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{kl} Y_l$ form the basis of the orthogonal bundle of the submanifold Σ_ϕ in the distribution D and $\phi_{lks} = Y_k(\phi_{ls})$.

Example 2. Consider the special case where $I = \emptyset$, so $\phi = \phi(x^j),\ j = 1, \dots, n$ and the tangent bundle to the surface Σ_ϕ is generated by the tangent vectors $Y_j = X_j - \phi_{jj'} P_{j'}$. The Normal (orthogonal) subspace of the tangent space $T_m(P)$ at the points of Σ_ϕ is generated by

$$Z_j = W_j - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{jj'} Y_{j'} = -P_j - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{jj'}(X_{j'} - \phi_{j'j''} P_{j''}) = \frac{1}{2}(P_j - \phi^{jj'} X_{j'}).$$

We now calculate the coefficients ϕ_{lks} of the second fundamental form ($k = j$ in this case)

$$\phi_{ljs} = Y_j \phi_{ls} = (X_j - \phi_{jj'} P_{j'})\phi_{,x^l x^s} = \partial_{x^j} \phi_{,x^l x^s}.$$

As a result, the second fundamental form of the surface Σ_ϕ has the form

$$II(Y_j, Y_{j'}) = \phi_{,x^j x^{j'} x^{j''}} Z_{j''} = \eta_{\phi, x^j} Z_j, \tag{10.5}$$

carrying information about all the third derivatives of a thermodynamical potential ϕ, or, equivalently, of the first derivatives of the thermodynamical metric η_ϕ.

Second fundamental form (10.5) of a surface Σ_ϕ is zero iff the metric η_ϕ **is constant with respect to the variables** x^i. Only in such case (quite improbable in real TD systems) metric η_ϕ is flat and submanifold Σ_ϕ is **totally geodesic** in P.

11. Constitutive hypersurface.

By the reasons of dimensions, the fundamental thermodynamical constitutive equation (law) $x^0 = \phi(x^i)$ of any material is **homogeneous of order one**, i.e. the

following condition is fulfilled

$$\phi(\lambda x^i) = \lambda\phi(x^i) \tag{11.1}$$

for all $\lambda \neq 0$ (see[6]). In other words, the action of the one-parameter group Λ of transformations

$$D_\lambda : (x^0, p_l, x^i) \to (\lambda x^0, p_l, \lambda x^i),\ \lambda \in R^* \tag{11.2}$$

leaves the constitutive Legendre surface Σ_ϕ of a real material invariant.

As a result the surface Σ_ϕ lays in the canonical quadric (hyperbolic paraboloid)

$$\mathcal{C} = \{m = (x^0, p_l, x^i) | x^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_l x^l = 0\}. \tag{11.3}$$

The intersection of the contact distribution D with the fibers of the tangent bundle $T(\mathcal{C})$ determines in $T(\mathcal{C})$ the subbundle $D_\mathcal{C}$.

Along the hypersurface $\mathcal{C}$ one has

$$0 = dx^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i dx^i + \sum_i x^i dp_i = \theta + \sum_i x^i dp_i. \tag{11.4}$$

as a result, on the distribution $D_\mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\sum_i x^i dp_i = 0 \tag{11.5}$$

which represents the abstract Gibbs-Duhem equation, [6].

Using these relations it is easy to see that the subbundle $D_\mathcal{C}$ contains and is generated by the following vector fields

$$D_\mathcal{C} =< X_l = \partial_{x^l} + x^l \partial_{x^0},\ P_{ij} = x^j \partial_{p_i} - x^i \partial_{p_j} > \tag{11.6}$$

at all points of $\mathcal{C}$ except the point of the plane $\mathcal{X} = \{x^i = 0,\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ where $D = T(\mathcal{C})$. To see this we recall that D is generated by the vector fields $X_l = \partial_{x^l} + x^l \partial_{x^0}, P_l = \partial_{p_l}$. The tangent space to the quadric $\mathcal{C}$ is formed by vectors satisfying the condition

$$\theta + \sum_i x^i dp_i = 0,$$

Thus, the intersection $D \cap T(\mathcal{C})$ is formed by vectors from D satisfying the Gibbs-Duhem equation. This is true for vectors X_i at all points. Now, consider the partition of the index interval $\{1, \ldots, n\} = I \cup J$ and denote by $\mathcal{C}_I$ the set of points

$$\mathcal{C}_I = \{(x, p) \in \mathcal{C} | x^i = 0, i \in I; x^j \neq 0, j \in J\}.$$

Let now $J \neq \varnothing$. Then, for $m \in \mathcal{C}_I$ vectors $\partial_{p_l}, l \in I$ belong to $T_m(\mathcal{C})$ as do all the vectors $P_{ij} = x^j \partial_{p_i} - x^i \partial_{p_j},\ i, j \in J$. Choose index $k \in J$ and consider vectors $x^l \partial_{p_k} - x^k \partial_{p_l},\ l \in \{J \backslash k\}$. These vectors belong to $T_m(\mathcal{C})$, and, together with $\partial_{p_l}, l \in I$ and $X_l = \partial_{x^l} + x^l \partial_{x^0}$, they form the $2n - 1$ dimensional subspace of $T_m(\mathcal{C})$ which is the intersection $D \cap T(\mathcal{C})$.

The only points where these arguments fail to work are the points of $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_{[1,n]}$, where $J = \varnothing$. In this case, the Gibbs-Duhem condition is empty and $D_m = T_m(\mathcal{C})$.

Notice that physically it would mean that all the extensive variables of the system are zero - quite an improbable case.

12. The Heisenberg Group as the thermodynamical phase space.

In this section we establish isomorphism of the TPS (P, θ, G) (with its contact structure and metric G) with the Heisenberg Lie group H_n with the right invariant contact structure and right invariant indefinite metric. This isomorphism is locally suggested by the commutativity relations of vector fields of the frame (5.5).

Recall that the Heisenberg group H_n is the nilpotent Lie group of $n \times n$ real matrices

$$g = g(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} & c \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12.1}$$

with the product

$$gg_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} & c \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a}_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b}_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} + \bar{a}_1 & c + c_1 + < \bar{a}, \bar{b}_1 > \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b} + \bar{b}_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12.2}$$

where $< \bar{a}, \bar{b}_1 >$ is the Euclidian scalar product of two vectors from R^n (see, for instance, [25]).

The Lie group H_n is the central extension of the abelian group $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\bar{a},\bar{b}} = \mathbb{R}^n_{\bar{a}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n_{\bar{b}}$ with the local parameters $\bar{a}, \bar{b}$ respectively by the 1-dim abelian group $\mathbb{R}_c$ with the local parameter z:

$$1 \to \mathbb{R}_c \to H_n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\bar{a},\bar{b}} \to 1.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ of the Heisenberg group is formed by the matrices

$$X(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{a} & z \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12.3}$$

with the conventional matrix bracket as the Lie algebra operation. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is mapped diffeomorphically onto H_n by the exponential mapping

$$exp(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{a} & z \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} & c = z + \frac{1}{2} < \bar{a}, \bar{b} > \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12.4}$$

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is the central extension

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}_z \to \mathfrak{h}_n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\bar{a},\bar{b}} \to 0$$

defined by the 2-cocycle $\omega_+ : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\omega_+(X(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, z), X(\bar{a}', \bar{b}', z')) = \frac{1}{2}(< \bar{a}, \bar{b}' > - < \bar{a}', \bar{b} >),$$

of the canonical symplectic form in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ see ([25]).

We construct the diffeomorphic mapping

$$\chi : H_n \Longleftrightarrow P$$

by requiring

$$\chi : \ g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{x} & x^0 \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to m = \begin{pmatrix} -x^0 \\ \bar{p} \\ \bar{x} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12.5}$$

The Action of the group H_n on itself by left translation: $L_g : g_1 \to gg_1$ defines the corresponding left action of H_n on the space P as $T_g : m \to \chi(L_g\chi^{-1}(m)))$, or

$$T_g \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ \bar{p} \\ \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} = \chi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} & z \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{x} & -x^0 \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 - c - \bar{a} \cdot \bar{p} \\ \bar{p} + \bar{b} \\ \bar{x} + \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12.6)$$

Let us find, in these terms, generators of the left action of the basic one-parameter subgroups of the group H_n corresponding to the elements $A_i = X(e_i, 0, 0)$, $B_j = X(0, e_i, 0), Z = X(0, 0, 1)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ on the vectors of P. For any element $X \in \mathfrak{h}_n$ denote by ξ_X (respectively by η_X the right invariant (respectively left invariant) vector field on H_n generated by the left (respectively right) translations by $exp(tX)$.

We have in coordinates $(\bar{A}, \bar{b}, c)$

$$\xi_Z = \partial_c, \ \xi_{A_i} = \partial_{a_i} + b_i\partial_c, \ \xi_{B_j} = \partial_{b_j}.$$

Applying diffeomorphism χ to these vector fields we get the correspondence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & t \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \chi^{-1}(m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{x} & -x^0 + t \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \chi_{*m}(\xi_Z) = -\partial_{x^0}, \quad (12.7)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0, 0, \dots t_i, 0 \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \chi^{-1}(m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{x} + t\bar{e}_i & x^0 + p_i t \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \chi_{*m}(\xi_{A_i}) = \partial_{x^i} - p_i\partial_{x^0} = X_i, \quad (12.8)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0, 0, \dots t_j, 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \chi^{-1}(m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{x} & x^0 \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{p} + t\bar{f}_j \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to \chi_{*m}(\xi_{B_j}) = \partial_{p_j} = P_j. \quad (12.9)$$

The pullback of the contact form $\theta = dx^0 + p_l dx^l$ from P to H_n defines the 1-form θ_H on H_n

$$\theta_H = \chi^*(\theta) = -dc + b_i da^i.$$

Reeb vector field of this form is

$$\xi_H = -\xi_c = -\partial_c,$$

and we have

$$\chi_*(\xi_H) = \xi$$

for the Reeb vectors of contact manifolds (H_n, θ_H) and (P, θ).

The kernel, D_H, of this 1-form (a distribution of codimension 1 on H_n) is, at each point g, generated by the values of vector fields ξ_{A_i}, ξ_{B_j} of left translations, and is therefore **right invariant**. As a result, distribution D_H defines the **right invariant contact structure on** H_n (given as the kernel of the form θ_H).

Considering the **right translations** on the group H_n, corresponding to the 1-dim Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ with generators Z, A_i, B_j we find that their generators have the form

$$\eta_C = \partial_c, \ \eta_{A_i} = \partial_{a_i}, \ \eta_{B_j} = \partial_{b_j} + a_i\partial_c \quad (12.10)$$

and it is easy to check that the form θ **is invariant under the flow of these (therefore contact) vector fields**. Thus, the form θ_H is **right invariant**. The diffeomorphism χ send these vectors into

$$\chi_*(\eta_C) = \xi;\ \chi_*(\eta_{A_i}) = \partial_{x^i};\ \chi_*(\eta_{B_j}) = \partial_{p_j} + x^j\partial_{x^0}.$$

Comparing this result with the description of the Killing vector field of the metric G we see that these vector fields form the nilradical of the Lie algebra of the Killing vector fields of the metric G.

Remark 2. The distribution D_H is the direct sum of two n-dimensional distributions

$$D = D_A \oplus D_B$$

in obvious notations, distributions D_A, D_B are integrable having as the basis at each point values of pairwise commuting vector fields. Denote by A (respectively by B) the abelian subgroup of H_n of matrices of the form (12.1) with $c = \bar{b} = 0$ (respectively $c = \bar{a} = 0$). Then integral manifolds of distribution D_A (respectively of distribution D_B) are orbits of the left translations by the subgroup A (respectively, by the Lie subgroup B).

Metric G is transferred under the diffeomorphism χ into the metric G_H on the Heisenberg group. This metric is constant in the right invariant (non-holonomic) frame $(\xi_C, \xi_{A_i}, \xi_{B_j})$ and is, therefore, right invariant by itself.

As a result we've proved the following

Theorem 2. *The diffeomorphism χ defined by*

$$\chi:\ g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{x} & x^0 \\ 0 & I_n & \bar{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \to m = \begin{pmatrix} -x^0 \\ \bar{p} \\ \bar{x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

determines an isomorphism of the "thermodynamical metric contact manifold" (P, θ, G) with the Heisenberg group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact from θ_H and the right invariant metric G_H of signature $(n+1, n)$.

Remark 3. Recall (see [10]) that the automorphism group $Aut(H_n)$ of the Heisenberg group has, as its connected component of unity the Lie group $Aut_0(H_n) = Sp(n, \mathbb{R}) \times A^{2n+1}$, where A^{2n+1} is the abelian group of dimension $2n+1$. This group acts on the space of all right invariant contact 1-forms on the group H_n. Since right invariant one-forms on H_n are defined by their values at the unit of the group $e \in H_n$ and since the automorphism group $Aut(G)$ leaves $e \in G$ fixed it is sufficient to study action of this group at the set of elements $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$. The following result for the left invariant contact structures (with the sketch of the proof) was sent to the authors in a letter by M. Goze. We reformulate this result for the right invariant contact structures due to the obvious duality between left and right translations.

Let $\{X_i, Y_j, Z\}$ be a standard basis of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ with the only nontrivial brackets being $[X_i, Y_i] = Z,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $\{\alpha_i, \beta_j, \omega\}$ be the dual basis in $\mathfrak{h}_n^*$. Then, extending this basis to the coframe of right invariant vector fields we get relations

$$d\alpha_i = d\beta_j = 0,\ d\omega = \sum_i \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i.$$

Then $\omega \wedge (d\omega)^n \neq 0$ and, therefore, one-form ω defines the right invariant contact structure on H_n.

Proposition 4. *Let $\omega_1 = a\omega + \sum_i a_i\alpha_i + \sum_j b_j\beta_j$ be a contact form in $\mathfrak{h}_n^*$. The group $Aut(H_n)$ acts transitively on the set of right invariant contact structures with the isotropy group of ω being the intersection of the group $Aut(H_n)$ with the group of ω-conformally contact diffeomorphisms of H_n.*

It follows from this that the contact structure θ_H of the thermodynamical phase space is the typical representative of the $Aut(H_n)$-conjugacy class of right invariant contact structures on the Heisenberg Group defined by a choice of the canonical basis $\{X_i, Y_j, Z\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and, therefore, unique, up to an automorphism of the group H_n.

Remark 4. After the isomorphism of the TPS (P, θ, G) with (H_n, θ_H, G_H) is established, many properties of metric G can be obtained from the corresponding results for invariant metrics on Lie groups. Further use of this isomorphism for the study of thermodynamical systems will be a subject of future work.

Part II.

13. Symplectization of manifold (P, θ, G).

Let $\tilde{P}$ be the standard (2n+2)-dim real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ with the coordinates $(p_i, x^j),\ i, j = 0, \dots n$, endowed with the 1-form

$$\tilde{\theta} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i dx^i, \tag{13.1}$$

and the standard symplectic structure

$$\omega = d\theta = \sum_i dp_i \wedge dx^i. \tag{13.2}$$

We consider the **embedding** of the space $(P, \theta = dx^0 + p_l dx^l)$ into $\tilde{P}$

$$J : (x^0, x^i, p_j) \to (x^0, x^i; p_0 = 1, p_l,\ l = 1, \dots, n) \tag{13.3}$$

as the affine subspace $p_0 = 1$.

It is easy to see that

Proposition 5. (1) *The pullback by J of the 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ coincides with the contact form θ.*

$$J^*(\tilde{\theta}) = \theta.$$

(2) *The symplectic manifold $(\tilde{P} = \{(p, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} | p_0 > 0\}, \omega)$ is the standard* **symplectization** *of (P, θ) (see [1, 18]) and J is the section of the symplectization bundle $\pi : \tilde{P} \to P$.*

(3) *The symmetrical tensor*

$$\tilde{G} = (\tilde{G}_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n+1\times n+1} & I_{n+1} \\ I_{n+1} & p_i p_j \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.4}$$

determines in $\tilde{P}$ the pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature $(n+1, n+1)$.

(4) *The restriction of metric $\tilde{G}$ to the image of the embedding J coincides with the metric G*

$$J^*\tilde{G} = G.$$

(5) *There is a bijection between the Legendre submanifolds of the contact manifold (P,θ) and the homogeneous (under the action $(x^i,p_j)\to(x^0,\lambda p_0,x^1,p_1,\dots,x^n,p_n)$ of R^+ on the manifold $\tilde P$) Lagrange submanifolds of the symplectic manifold $(\tilde P,\omega)$). This correspondence is defined by the intersection of a homogenous Lagrangian submanifold $\tilde K$ with the image of the embedding J and by the action of the dilatation group on the image of a Legendre submanifold $K\subset P$ under the embedding J.*

Proof. Almost all the statements of this Proposition follows simply from the construction or are known ([2, 18]). The determinant of the matrix (13.4) of the metric $\tilde G$ is equal to $(-1)^{n+1}$ which proves its nondegeneracy. □

14. Canonical frame $(\tilde X^i,\tilde P_j)$.

Here we introduce the non-holonomic frame $(\tilde X^i,\tilde P_j)$ in the open subset $\tilde P^*=\{(p,x)\in\tilde P|\prod_k p_k\neq 0\}$ of the symplectic manifold $\tilde P$ with respect to which the metric $\tilde G$ has the standard (constant) form.

We take

$$\tilde P_i=p_i\partial_{p_i};\ L_k=p_k^{-1}\partial_{x^k};\ \tilde X_j=p_k^{-1}\partial_{x^k}-\hat P=L_j-\hat P,\tag{14.1}$$

where

$$\hat P=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=0}^{n}\tilde P_s=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n}p_i\partial_{p_i}$$

is the generator of homogeneous dilatation in the p-directions.

Then we have the following commutator relations between the introduced vector fields.

$$[\tilde P_i,\tilde P_j]=[L_i,L_j]=0;\ [\tilde P_i,\ L_j]=-\delta_{ij}L_j;\ [L_j,\hat P]=\frac{1}{2}L_j;$$
$$[\tilde P_i,\tilde X_j]=-\delta_{ij}(\tilde X_j+\hat P);[\tilde X_i,\tilde X_j]=\frac{1}{2}(\tilde X_j-\tilde X_i).\tag{14.2}$$

These relations shows, in particular, that the couples of vector fields $\tilde P_i,L_i$ form the 2-dim solvable Lie algebras α_i of vector fields commuting between themselves (see below, Sec.19).

Scalar products of the introduced vector fields are calculated as follows

$$\tilde G(\tilde P_i,\tilde P_j)=0;\ G(L_i,L_j)=1;\ G(P_i,L_j)=\delta_{ij};$$
$$\tilde G(\tilde P_i,\tilde X_j)=\tilde G(p_i\partial_{p_i},p_j^{-1}\partial_{x^j}-\hat P)=p_ip_j^{-1}\tilde G(\partial_{p_i},\partial_{x^j})=p_ip_j^{-1}\delta_{ij}=\delta_{ij};$$
$$\tilde G(\tilde X_i,\hat P)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_s\tilde G(\tilde X_i,\tilde P_s)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_s\delta_{is}=\frac{1}{2};$$
$$\tilde G(\tilde X_i,\tilde X_j)=\tilde G(p_i^{-1}\partial_{x^i}-\hat P,p_j^{-1}\partial_{x^j}-\hat P)=p_i^{-1}p_j^{-1}\tilde G(\partial_{x^i},\partial_{x^j})-p_i^{-1}\tilde G(\partial_{x^i},\hat P)-$$
$$p_j^{-1}\tilde G(\partial_{x^j},\hat P)+\tilde G(\hat P,\hat P)=1-\frac{1}{2}p_i^{-1}\sum_s p_s\tilde G(\partial_{x^i},\partial_{p_s})-\frac{1}{2}p_j^{-1}\sum_s p_s\tilde G(\partial_{x^j},\partial_{p_s})+0=$$
$$1-\frac{1}{2}p_i^{-1}\sum_s p_s\delta_{is}-\frac{1}{2}p_j^{-1}\sum_s p_s\delta_{js}=1-1=0.\tag{14.3}$$

As a result in the basis $(\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{X}_j)$, the matrix of metric $\tilde{G}$ has in $\tilde{P}^*$ the following canonical form

$$(\tilde{G}_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n+1} & I_{n+1} \\ I_{n+1} & 0_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14.4}$$

The positive and negative distributions of metric $\tilde{G}$ are

$$T^+ =< \xi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{P}_i + \tilde{X}_i) >,\ T^- =< \eta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{P}_i - \tilde{X}_i) > . \tag{14.5}$$

The zero cone is given in the frame $\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{X}_j$ for $X = f_i\tilde{P}_i + g_j\tilde{X}_j$

$$\tilde{G}(X, X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_i f_i g_i = 0. \tag{14.6}$$

15. Levi-Civita connection of metric $\tilde{G}$.

To calculate curvature of the metric $\tilde{G}$ we start with the combinations $\{ij, k\} = \tilde{G}_{ik,j} + \tilde{G}_{jk,i} - \tilde{G}_{ij,k}$ It is easy to see that the only nonzero combinations are

$$\{x^i x^j, p_l\} = -(\delta^i_l p_j + \delta^j_l p_i);\ \{x^i p_j, x^s\} = \{p_j x^i, x^s\} = (\delta^i_j p_s + \delta^s_j p_i). \tag{15.1}$$

Using these combinations we calculate the Christoffel coefficients $\Gamma^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}G^{is}\{jk, s\}$. We notice that to be nonzero, the Christoffel coefficient $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\xi}$ should have at least two x^i between the three indices in one of the terms $\{jk, s\}$. Using this it is easy to see that the only nonzero Christoffel coefficients are

$$\Gamma^{x^i}_{x^j x^k} = -\frac{1}{2}(\delta^i_j p_k + \delta^i_k p_j);\ \Gamma^{p_i}_{x^j x^k} = p_i p_j p_k;\ \Gamma^{p_i}_{x^j p_k} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^j_k p_i + \delta^i_k p_j). \tag{15.2}$$

Next, we calculate the Ricci Tensor. $R_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{ji,k} - \Gamma^k_{js}\Gamma^s_{ki}$. We have

$$R_{p_i p_j} = \Gamma^k_{p_i p_j, k} - \Gamma^k_{p_j s}\Gamma^s_{k p_i} = 0 - \Gamma^{p_s}_{p_j x^l}\Gamma^{x^s}_{p_s p_i} - \Gamma^{x^s}_{p_j x^l}\Gamma^{x^l}_{x^s p_i} = 0,$$

Since $\Gamma^{p_s}_{x^i x^j, p_s} = \sum_s \partial_{p_s}(p_i p_j p_s) = (n+1)p_i p_j + \sum_s(\delta^i_s p_j p_s + \delta^j_s p_i p_s) = (n+1)p_i p_j + p_i p_j + p_i p_j = (n+3)p_i p_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{x^i x^j} &= \Gamma^k_{x^i x^j, k} - \Gamma^k_{x^j s}\Gamma^s_{k x^i} = \Gamma^{p_s}_{x^i x^j, p_s} - \Gamma^{x^l}_{x^j x^s}\Gamma^{x^s}_{x^l x^i} - \Gamma^{x^l}_{x^j p_s}\Gamma^{p_s}_{x^l x^i} - \Gamma^{p_l}_{x^j x^s}\Gamma^{x^s}_{p_l x^i} - \Gamma^{p_l}_{x^j p_s}\Gamma^{p_s}_{p_l x^i} = \\ &= (n+3)p_i p_j - \frac{1}{4}(\delta^l_j p_s + \delta^l_s p_j)(\delta^s_l p_i + \delta^s_i p_l) - \frac{1}{4}(\delta^j_s p_l + \delta^l_s p_j)(\delta^i_l p_s + \delta^s_l p_i) = \\ &= (n+3)p_i p_j - \frac{1}{4}[p_i p_j + p_i p_j + (n+1)p_i p_j + p_i p_j] - \frac{1}{4}[p_i p_j + p_i p_j + (n+1)p_i p_j + p_i p_j] = \\ &= (n+3)p_i p_j - \frac{1}{2}(n+4)p_i p_j = \frac{n+2}{2}p_i p_j. \end{aligned} \tag{15.3}$$

Finally, since $\Gamma^{p_s}_{x^j p_i, p_s} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_s \partial_{p_s}(\delta^j_i p_s + \delta^s_i p_j) = \frac{1}{2}((n+1)\delta^j_i + \delta^i_j) = \frac{n+2}{2}\delta^i_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{p_i x^j} &= \Gamma^k_{x^j p_i, k} - \Gamma^k_{x^j s}\Gamma^s_{k p_i} = \Gamma^{p_s}_{x^j p_i, p_s} - (\Gamma^{p_l}_{x^j x^k}\Gamma^{x^k}_{p_l p_i} + \Gamma^{p_l}_{x^j p_s}\Gamma^{p_s}_{p_l p_i} + \Gamma^{x^l}_{x^j x^s}\Gamma^{x^s}_{x^l p_i} + \Gamma^{x^l}_{x^j p_s}\Gamma^{p_s}_{x^l x^i}) = \\ &= \frac{n+2}{2}\delta^j_i + 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 = \frac{n+2}{2}\delta^j_i. \end{aligned} \tag{15.4}$$

Thus,

$$Ric(\tilde{G}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} & \frac{n+2}{2}I_{n+1} \\ \frac{n+2}{2}I_{n+1} & \frac{n+2}{2}p_i p_j \end{pmatrix} = \frac{n+2}{2}\tilde{G}. \tag{15.5}$$

Thus, metric $\tilde{G}$ is **pseudo-Riemannian Einstein metric**,see [24].

Lifting an index of R_{ij} with

$$(G^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} -p_i p_j & I_{n+1} \\ I_{n+1} & 0_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

we get $\tilde{G}^{ik}R_{kj} = \frac{n+2}{2}\delta^i_j$. Taking the trace, we find the scalar curvature to be

$$R(\tilde{G}) = Tr(\tilde{G}^{-1}\frac{n+2}{2}\tilde{G}) = (n+1)(n+2). \tag{15.6}$$

Thus, we have

Proposition 6. *Metric $\tilde{G}$ is the indefinite Einstein metric of scalar curvature $R(\tilde{G}) = (n+1)(n+2)$.*

16. Killing vector fields of metric $\tilde{G}$.

It is natural to find the form of Killing vector fields of metric $\tilde{G}$ - infinitesimal isometries of $\tilde{G}$. The details of the calculations are given in the Appendix. Here we formulate the final result.

Theorem 3. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_{\tilde{G}} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n+2,\mathbb{R})$ of Killing vector fields of the metric $\tilde{G}$ is (as the vector space) the linear sum*

$$\mathfrak{iso}_{\tilde{G}} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathfrak{x}$$

of Lie subalgebras

$$1)\ \mathfrak{q} =< Q^i_j = x^i\partial_{x^j} - p_j\partial_{p_i} >,$$

with the commutator relations

$$[Q^i_j, Q^p_k] = \delta^p_j Q^i_k - \delta^i_k Q^p_j,$$

Subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ is isomorphic thus, to $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1,\mathbb{R})$,

the abelian subalgebra

$$2)\ \mathfrak{d} =< D^i = \frac{x^i}{2}Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^l p_l))\partial_{p_i}, >$$

where $Q = \sum_i Q^i_i = \sum_i (x^i\partial_{x^i} - p_i\partial_{p_i})$ is the generator of hyperbolic rotation H_t : $(p,x) \to (e^t p, e^{-t}q)$.

and abelian subalgebra

$$3)\ \mathfrak{x} =< X_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} > .$$

Generators Q^i_j, X_j, D^j satisfy to the following commutator relations

$$[Q^i_j, X_s] = -\delta^i_s X_j;\ [Q^i_j, D^s] = \delta^s_j D^i;\ [X_s, D^i] = \frac{1}{2}Q^i_s + \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_s Q. \tag{16.1}$$

Vector fields Q^i_j, X_i, D^j are Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian functions

$$H_{Q^i_j} = -x^i p_j;\ H_{X_k} = -p_k;\ H_{D^s} = x^s(1 - \frac{<\bar{x},\bar{p}>}{2}).$$

17. Hypersurface $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

There exists a natural lift of the constitutive hyperquadric $\mathcal{C}$ to the space $\tilde{P}$ as the homogeneous hypersurface

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{(p_i, x^i) | x^0 p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} p_i x^i = 0\}. \tag{17.1}$$

The hyperquadric $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under the action of $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^*$: $(x^i, p_i) \to (x^i, \lambda p_i)$ as well as under the hyperbolic rotations $(x^i, p_i) \to (\lambda x^i, \lambda^{-1} p_i)$.

Polarizing the coordinates (x^i, p_j) - introducing new coordinates $\xi_i = x^i + p_i,\ \eta_j = x^j - p_j$ we rewrite the equation of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (\xi_i^2 - \eta_i^2) = 0.$$

From this we see that the hyperquadric $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a cone in the space $\tilde{P}$ of signature $(n+1, n+1)$.

18. (P, ϕ, θ, ξ) as the indefinite Sasakian manifold.

Recall that an almost contact manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is called **Sasakian** if the **almost complex structure** on the manifold $M^{2n+1} \times R$ defined by

$$J(X, f\partial_t) = (\phi(X) - f\xi, \eta(X))\partial_t) \tag{18.1}$$

is integrable. Here t is the coordinate on the factor R of the product, $f \in C^\infty(M \times R)$, see [5].

Sasakian manifolds are considered to be the natural odd-dimensional analog of **Kahler** manifolds,[5], Chapter 6.

A necessary and sufficient condition for the integrability of an almost complex structure is the vanishing of the **Nijenhuis tensor** of the (1,1)-tensor J:

$$N_J(X, Y) = J^2[X, Y] + [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY].$$

In the situation where (M, θ) is the **contact manifold** and the almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) is associated with the contact structure (so that in particular, the 1-form $\eta = \theta$ is the same in both structures and ξ is the Reeb vector of the contact structure) it is natural to study the integrability of the almost complex structure defined by (18.1) on the **symplectization** of the manifold (M, θ).

In the case of the standard contact structure (P, θ), the symplectization of P is naturally embedded in the symplectic vector space $(\tilde{P}, \omega)$ which can be considered as the product manifold $P \times \mathbb{R}$.

Even in the case of a general contact manifold this seems to be a natural modification of the definition of "normality" of an almost contact structure.

Proposition 7. *The Nijenhuis tensor N_J of the almost complex structure defined by the formula (18.1) on the symplectization $\tilde{P}$ of the manifold (P, ϕ, ξ, θ) with ϕ given in (5.12)* **is identically zero**. *As a result, $(\tilde{P}, J)$ is a complex manifold and (P, ϕ, ξ, θ) is an* **"indefinite Sasakian manifold"**.

Proof. With a slight abuse of notations we will use the coordinate t instead of p_0 for the $n+2$-th coordinate in $\tilde{P}$. By the linearity of the condition $N_J(X, Y) = 0$, it is

sufficient to only check vectors from some frame. We will use the frame $(\xi, X_i, P_j, \partial_t)$ for the calculations.

Recall that

$$\phi(X_i = P_i, \phi(P_i) = -X_i,\ \phi(\xi) = 0.$$

With this we get

$$J(X) = \phi(X) + \theta(X)\partial_t,\ X \in T(P);\ J(\xi) = \partial_t,\ J(\partial_t) = -\xi.$$

Now we calculate

$$N_J(\xi, \partial_t) = J^2[\xi, \partial_t] + [J\xi, J\partial_t] - J[J\xi, \partial_t] - J[\xi, J\partial_t] = 0 + [\partial_t, -\xi] - J[\partial_t, \partial_t] - J[\xi, -\xi] = 0,$$

$$N_J(X_i, \partial_t) = J^2[X_i, \partial_t] + [\phi X_i, -\xi] - J[\phi X_i, \partial_t] - J[X_i, -\xi] = -[P_i, \xi] - J[P_i, \partial_t] - J0 = 0,$$

$$N_J(P_i, \partial_t) = J^2[P_i, \partial_t] + [-X_i, -\xi] - J[-X_i, \partial_t] - J[P_i, -\xi] = 0,$$

$$N_J(X_i, \xi) = J^2[X_i, \xi] + [P_i, \partial_t] - J[P_i, \xi] - J[X_i, \partial_t] = 0,$$

$$N_J(P_i, \xi) = J^2[P_i, \xi] + [-X_i, \partial_t] - J[-X_i, \xi] - J[P_i, \partial_t] = 0,$$

$$N_J(X_i, X_j) = J^2[X_i, X_j] + [P_i, P_j] - J[P_i, X_j] - J[X_i, P_j] = -J(-\delta_{ij}\xi) - J(\delta_{ij}\xi) = 0,$$

$$N_J(P_i, P_j) = J^2[P_i, P_j] + [-X_i, -X_j] - J[-X_i, P_j] - J[P_i, -X_j] = -J(\delta_{ij}\xi) + J(-\delta_{ij}\xi) = 0,$$

$$N_J(X_i, P_j) = J^2[X_i, P_j] + [P_i, -X_j] - J[P_i, P_j] - J[X_i, -X_j] = J^2[\delta_{ij}\xi] + \delta_{ij}\xi + 0 + 0 = 0.$$

□

Remark 5. Notice that the manifold (P, ϕ, θ, ξ) **is not cosymplectic** in sense of the definition of D.Blair (see [5], Sec.6.5). More specifically, the (1,1)-tensor field ϕ is not parallel. To see this we notice that the formula

$$2g((\nabla_X \phi)Y, Z) = g(N^{(1)}(Y, Z), \phi X) + 2d\theta(\phi Y, X)\theta(Z) - 2d\theta(\phi Z, X)\theta(Y)$$

for the covariant derivatives of the (1,1)-tensor ϕ, proved in [5], Corollary 6.1, is true for an indefinite metric as well. In order to see that ϕ is not parallel, substitute in this formula $X = Z = X_i,\ Y = \xi$. Then $N^1 = 0$ by the previous Proposition, the second term in the right side of this formula is zero since X_i is θ-horizontal, and

$$-2d\theta(\phi X_i, X_i)\theta(\xi) = -2\omega(P_i, X_i) = -2.$$

Moreover, since θ is not a closed form, (P, ϕ, θ, ξ) is not cosymplectic in sense of P.Libermann either.

Remark 6. In the case of a contact metric manifold (M, θ, g) one has $Ric(\xi) = 2n - tr(h^2)$ for the tensor field $h = \frac{1}{2}N_J^{(3)}$ with the component $N_J^{(3)}$ of the Nijenhuis Tensor N_J, see [5], Corollary 7.1. Unlike the Riemannian case, we have for the metric G $Ric(\xi) = R_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j = R_{00} = -\frac{n}{2}$.

19. Group action of A_1^n.

Denote by A_1 the Lie group of affine transformations of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. In this section, we define an action of the product A_1^n on the space $\tilde{P}$ of symplectization which is similar to the action of the Heisenberg group H_n on P (see [25]).

The Lie group A_1 of affine transformations of real line $\mathbb{R}$ can be identified with the group of 2×2 real matrices of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_1$ of the group A_1 in this representation consists of matrices

$$Y(a,z) = \begin{pmatrix} a & z \\ 0 & 0, \end{pmatrix}$$

and the exponential mapping $exp : \alpha_1 \to A_1$ takes the form

$$exp \begin{pmatrix} a & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & e^a z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Left translations by the elements of the basic one-parameter group $exp(tY(1,0))$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t h & e^t z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

generate the basic right invariant vector field

$$\xi_a = h\partial_h + z\partial_z,$$

while a similar action of the one-parameter group $exp(tY(0,1))$ produces the right invariant vector field

$$\xi_z = \partial_z.$$

We have $[\xi_a, \xi_z] = -\xi_z$.

For the right translations we have, respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \eta_a = h\partial_h,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h & z + ht \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \ \eta_z = h\partial_z. \quad (19.1)$$

We have $[\eta_a, \eta_z] = \eta_z$.

Consider now the identification of the Lie group A_1 with the upper half-space $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{(p,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | p > 0\}$

$$\tilde{\chi} : \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} p \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ -h^{-1}z \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{\chi}^{-1} : \begin{pmatrix} p \\ x \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} p & -p^{-1}x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Under this identification

$$\chi(\begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^t h \\ -zh^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$\chi_*(\xi_a) = p\partial_p.$$

Since

$$\chi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ -(z+t)h^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$\chi_*(\xi_c) = -p^{-1}\partial_X.$$

We also calculate

$$\chi^*(pdx) = -dz - zh^{-1}dh,\ \chi^*(dp \wedge dx) = h^{-1}dh \wedge dz.$$

Now we apply these consideration to the product mapping

$$\chi = \prod_{i=0}^{i=n} \chi_i : \prod_{i=0}^{i=n} A_1^i \Leftrightarrow \tilde{P}_+ = \{(p_i, x_i) \in \tilde{P} | p_i > 0.\}$$

We see that this mapping is the diffeomorphism satisfying

$$\chi_*(\xi_{i\ a}) = p_i\partial_{p_i} = P_i,\ \chi_*(\xi_{i\ z}) = -p_i^{-1}\partial_{x^i} = -L_i.$$

In addition to this,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^*(\sum_i p_i dx^i) &= -\sum_i (dz_i - z_i h_i^{-1} dh_i), \\ \chi^*(\sum_i dp_i \wedge dx^i) &= \sum_i p_i^{-1} dp_i \wedge dz_i. \end{aligned} \tag{19.2}$$

Dual to the right invariant frame ξ_a, ξ_z is the coframe

$$\omega_a = h^{-1}dh,\ \omega_z = dz.$$

Thus,

$$\chi^*(\sum_i dp_i \wedge dx^i) = \sum_i p_i^{-1} dp_i \wedge dz_i$$

is a right invariant symplectic structure on the group A_1^{n+1}.

Proposition 8. *The mapping*

$$\chi = \prod_{i=0}^{i=n} \chi_i : \prod_{i=0}^{i=n} A_1^i \Leftrightarrow \tilde{P}_+ = \{(p_i, x_i) \in \tilde{P} | p_i > 0\}$$

defined by

$$\prod_{i=0}^{i=n} \begin{pmatrix} h_i & z_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \{(p_i, x^i) = (h_i,\ -h_i^{-1} z_i)\}$$

defines a symplectomorphism of the symplectic space $(\tilde{P}_+, \sum_{i=0}^n p_i dx^i)$ *with the product* $\prod_{i=0}^{i=n} A_1^i$ *of (n+1) copies of affine group* A_1 *endowed with the symplectic structure generated by the right invariant 1-form* $-\sum_{i=0}^{i=n}(dz_i - z_i h_i^{-1} dh_i)$.

20. Hyperbolic Rotations and the projectivization of $\tilde{P}$.

In this section we construct a natural compactification of the TPS P endowed with the extension of the contact structure θ and that of the indefinite metric G.

Consider the action of the one-parameter group R in the space $\tilde{P}$ acting by the one-parameter group HR of **hyperbolic rotations**

$$g^t : (p_l, x^i) \to (e^t p_l, e^{-t} x^i). \tag{20.1}$$

We have obviously

Lemma 1. (1) *The 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ is invariant under this action of the group HR.*
(2) *The metric $\tilde{G}$ is invariant under the action of the group HR.*

Proposition 9. *The space $\hat{P}$ of orbits of the points $\tilde{P} \setminus 0$ under the action of the group HR is canonically isomorphic to the projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Cover the space $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ with the open subsets of two types:
Sets of the first type are

$$\hat{U}_j = \{m | p_j \neq 0\},$$

and associate with these sets the affine domains

$$U_j \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$$

of the projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with the coordinates

$$(x^i p_j, \frac{p_l}{p_j}).$$

Sets of the second type are

$$\hat{V}_k = \{m | x^k \neq 0\},$$

and associate with these sets the affine domains

$$V_k \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$$

of the projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with the coordinates

$$(\frac{x^i}{x^k}, p_l x^k).$$

Notice that on the intersections $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}$ we have relations between the corresponding affine coordinates

$$x^i p_{j_2} = x^i p_{j_1} \cdot (\frac{p_{j_2}}{p_{j_1}}); \quad \frac{p_k}{p_{j_2}} = \frac{p_k}{p_{j_1}} \cdot (\frac{p_{j_1}}{p_{j_2}}).$$

On the intersections $U_j \cap V_k$, we have relations between the corresponding affine coordinates

$$x^l p_j = \frac{x^l}{x^k} \cdot (x^l p_j); \quad \frac{p_l}{p_j} = p_l x^k \cdot (\frac{1}{x^k p_j}).$$

Finally, on the intersections $V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}$ we have relations between the corresponding affine coordinates

$$\frac{x^k}{x^{j_2}} = \frac{x^k}{x^{j_1}} \cdot (\frac{x^{j_1}}{x^{j_2}}); \quad p_l x^{j_2} = p_l x^{j_1} \cdot (\frac{x^{j_2}}{x^{j_1}}).$$

This shows that affine coordinates of all the affine charts are related by the transition functions **invariant under the action of hyperbolic rotations**. Thus, they are glued into the standard projective space $P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. □

Combining Lemma 2 and the previous construction we get the following

Proposition 10. (1) *The projections $\hat{\theta}$ of the 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ and that of the metric $\hat{G}$ of $\tilde{G}$ endow the projective space $\hat{P}$ with the contact structure and the metric of signature $(n+1, n)$.*
(2) *The composition J of the embedding $j : P \to \tilde{P}$ and the projection $\tilde{P} \to \hat{P}$ defined the* **compactification** *$(\hat{P}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{G})$ of the TPS (P, θ, G) with the contact structure and Mrugala metric G.*

21. Group action of H_n and the "partial orbit structure" of $\hat{P}$.

In this section we consider the lift to the space $\tilde{P}$ of the action of the group H_n on P discussed in Sec. 12 and the action of subgroups of H_n on the cells of smaller dimension of the standard CW-structure of the projective space $\hat{P}$.

The differential operators X_i, P_j, ξ of the canonical frame (5.5) act also in the space $\tilde{P}$ with the same commutator relations. This action generates the action of the Lie group H_n on the space $\tilde{P}$ leaving hyperplanes $p_0 = const$ invariant.

Introduce the sequence $L_k,\ k = 1, \dots, n$ of subgroups of the Heisenberg group H_n defined by the condition

$$L_{n-k} = \{g(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, c) | b_1 = \dots = b_k = 0\}. \tag{21.1}$$

These subgroups form the series

$$H_n \supset L_{n-1} \supset L_{n-2} \supset \dots \supset L_0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$L_{n-k} \simeq \mathbb{R}^k \times H_{n-k} \tag{21.2}$$

is the product of the k-dim abelian group $\mathbb{R}^k$ and the Heisenberg group H_{n-k}.

The right invariant vector fields on H_n tangent to (and generated by) the subgroup L_{n-k} are (in terms of the isomorphism of Sec. 12) $\xi, X_i, i = 1, \dots, n,\ P_j, j = k+1, \dots, n$.

In the space $\tilde{P}$, consider the affine planes V_k defining the cells of the standard cell structure of the projective space $\hat{P} = P_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the (hyperbolically) homogeneous coordinates of $\hat{P}$

$$V_k = \{(x^i, p_j | p_0 = p_1, \dots = p_{k-1} = 0,\ p_k = 1\}, \tag{21.3}$$

with $k = 0, 1, \dots n$. It is clear that the projective space $\hat{P}$ is obtained by gluing to the cell $V_0 = j(P)$ the smaller cells V_1, V_2, V_3, consecutively and, finally, by gluing in n-dim projective space $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ obtained by the action of hyperbolic rotations (usual dilatations here) on the subspace $V_{n+1} = \{(x^i, p_j = 0 | j = 0, \dots, n\}$.

It is easy to see now that each cell V_k is **canonically diffeomorphic** to the group L_{n-k} whose action on V_k is induced by the action of the Heisenberg group H_n on the space $\tilde{P}$ considered above.

So, even though the action of H_n on P cannot be extended to the compactification $\hat{P}$, a coherent action of the subgroups of series (21.1) produces the partial cell structure of $\hat{P}$ starting with the projective subspace $V_{n+1} \simeq P_n(\mathbb{R})$.

The restriction of the 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ to the cell V_k has the form

$$\theta_k = \tilde{\theta}|_{V_k} = dx^k + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} p_i dx^i.$$

Therefore, this form determines the canonical contact structure on the Heisenberg factor of the cell $V_k \simeq L_{n-k} \simeq \mathbb{R}^k \times H_{n-k}$ and is zero on the first factor.

The restriction of the Mrugala metric G to the cell V_k has, in variables $(p_k, \dots, p_n; x^0, \dots, x^{k-1}; x^k, \dots, x^n)$, the form

$$G_k = \tilde{G}|_{V_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-k)\times(n-k)} & 0_{(n-k)\times k} & I_{n-k} \\ 0_{k\times(n-k)} & 0_{k\times k} & 0_{k\times(n-k)} \\ I_{n-k} & 0_{(n-k)\times(n-k)} & p_i p_j \end{pmatrix} \tag{21.4}$$

Thus, this metric is zero on the first abelian factor of the cell $V_k \simeq L_{n-k}$ and coincides with the Mrugala metric on the Heisenberg factor H_{n-k} of the cell V_k.

Combining these arguments we get the following

Theorem 4. (1) *The restriction of the action of the Heisenberg group H_n on the space $P \simeq V_0$ (embedded in $\hat{P}$) to the subgroup $L_{n-k} \simeq R^k \times H_{n-k}$ of the form (23.1) extends to the action of this subgroup on the cell $\pi(V_k) \subset \hat{P}$ and determines the diffeomorphism of the group L_{n-k} with V_k and with its image $\pi(V_k) \subset \hat{P}$.*

(2) *Restrictions of the 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ and metric $\tilde{G}$ to the cell V_k endow the Heisenberg factor H_{n-k} of V_k with the contact structure θ_k and the Mrugala metric G_k and are both zero on the abelian factor $\mathbb{R}^k$.*

Remark 7. Every cell V_k represents the thermodynamical phase space of an abstract thermodynamical system with n extensive and $n-k$ intensive variables. This corresponds to a situation where the thermodynamical potential $\phi(x^i)$ depends on $x_{k+1}, \dots x^n$ but not on the first k extensive variables x^i. As a results the participation of factors $x^i, i = 0, \dots, k-1$ in the processes is "switched out" and they become parameters only.

Example 3. Consider the case $n = 2$, i.e. take P^5 to be five-dimensional with the contact form $\theta = dU - SdT + pdV$ (a one-component homogeneous system, per 1 mole). Hypersurface $\mathcal{C}$ (see Sec.11) has, in this case, the well known form $U - ST + pV = 0$. Its lift to $\tilde{P}$ - $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has the form $p_0 U - ST + pV = 0$.

Intersection of this quadric with the plane $p_0 = 0$ is the (degenerate) quadric $\mathcal{C}_1 : \ pV = ST$. Fixing value of S, say, taking $S = R - const$ determine the cell $\simeq V_1$ that projects onto the cell V_1 of the compact space $\hat{P}$. Image of the quadric $\mathcal{C}_1$ under this projection determine in the 3dim H_1-factor of the cell V_1 the surface $pV = RT$ given by the equation of mono-atomic ideal gas.

Hypersurface $\mathcal{C}$ is the submanifold containing all the constitutive (equilibrium) surfaces of all thermodynamical systems with the TPS P^5. Closures of these surfaces in $\hat{P}$ contains points from cells of smaller dimension V_1, V_2. Thus, equation of a mono-atomic ideal gas appears here as the equation of the surface formed by the limit points in V_1 of all possible constitutive surfaces in $(P^5, \theta = dU - SdT + pdV)$.

Remark 8. The construction of a compact manifold $\hat{P}$ in terms of a series of subgroups (23.1) of a Lie group H represents a way to represent a manifold in terms of a Lie group with the open dense orbit isomorphic to the group H itself and a natural Whitney stratification in terms of extension of subgroup actions on the cells of smaller dimension. Removed generators (here $P_i, \ i = 1, \dots, k$) determine the projections from cells of higher dimension to the cells in their closure (see [33]).

22. Conclusion

In this work we've studied basic properties of the indefinite metric G of R.Mrugala defined on the contact (2n+1)-dimensional phase space (P, θ) of a homogeneous thermodynamical system. We have calculated the curvature tensor, Killing vector fields, and the second fundamental form of the Legendre submanifolds of P - constitutive surfaces of different homogeneous thermodynamical systems. We established

an isomorphism of the TPS (P,θ,G) with the Heisenberg Lie group H_n endowed with the right invariant contact structure and the right invariant indefinite metric. We lifted the metric G to a metric $\tilde{G}$ of signature $(n+1,n+1)$ in the symplectization $\tilde{P}$ of the contact space (P,θ) and studied curvature properties and Killing vector fields of this metric. Finally we introduced the "hyperbolic projectivization" of the space $(\tilde{P},\tilde{\theta},\tilde{G})$ that can be considered as the natural **compactification** (with the contact structure and the indefinite metric) of the TPS space (P,θ,G).

Many interesting questions were left outside of this paper - study of the geodesics of metric G, the relation of metric properties of (P,G) with the contact transformations (see [20, 3]), the characterization of the submanifolds of signature changes of the thermodynamical metrics on the Legendre submanifolds (related to the phase transitions in the corresponding homogeneous thermodynamical systems) in terms of the Grassmanian of the Legendre n-dim subspaces in P, the use of the geometry of Heisenberg group H_n to the study of Legendre submanifolds of (P,θ), and others. Some of these questions will be considered in the continuation of this work.

In the conclusion we would like to thank Professor M. Goze for the useful information about contact and metric structures on the Heisenberg group.

23. Appendix: Killing vector fields for $\tilde{G}$.

In this Appendix we provide the details of calculations of Killing vector fields of metric $\tilde{G}$.

Conditions for a vector field Z on a manifold M with a (pseudo-Riemannian) metric g to be a Killing vector field have the form $\mathcal{L}_Z g = 0$, or, in local coordinates (p_i, x^j),

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial z^k} Z^k + g_{ik}\frac{\partial Z^k}{\partial z^j} + g_{kj}\frac{\partial Z^k}{\partial z^i} = 0, \text{ for all } i,j,k. \tag{23.1}$$

We take a vector field X in $\tilde{P}$ in the form

$$X = \xi^{p_l}\partial_{p_l} + \xi^{x^s}\partial_{x^s} \tag{23.2}$$

and consider cases of different pairs of indices (ij).

Case $(ij) = (p_l p_s)$.

$$0 + \delta^{p_l}_k \partial_{p_s}\xi^k + \delta^{p_s}_k \partial_{p_l}\xi^k = 0$$

or

$$\partial_{p_s}\xi^{x^l} + \partial_{p_l}\xi^{x^s} = 0. \tag{23.3}$$

Case $(ij) = (p_l x^s)$.

$$0 + \delta^l_k \partial_{x^s}\xi^{x^k} + (\delta^s_k \partial_{p_l}\xi^{p_k} + p_s p_k \partial_{p_l}\xi^{x^k}) = 0,$$

or

$$\partial_{x^s}\xi^{x^l} + \partial_{p_l}\xi^{p_s} + p_s p_k \partial_{p_l}\xi^{x^k} = 0. \tag{23.4}$$

Case $(ij) = (x^i x^j)$.

$$(p_i\xi^{p_j} + p_j\xi^{p_i}) + (\delta^i_k \partial_{x^j}\xi^{p_k} + p_i p_k \partial_{x^j}\xi^{x^k}) + (\delta^j_k \partial_{x^i}\xi^{p_k} + p_j p_k \partial_{x^i}\xi^{x^k}) = 0,$$

or

$$(p_i\xi^{p_j} + p_j\xi^{p_i}) + (\partial_{x^j}\xi^{p_i} + p_i p_k \partial_{x^j}\xi^{x^k}) + (\partial_{x^i}\xi^{p_j} + p_j p_k \partial_{x^i}\xi^{x^k}) = 0. \tag{23.5}$$

We will need the following

Lemma 2. *Let $f^i(y^1, \dots y^n)$ be n functions of n variables x^i such that for all i, j*

$$\partial_{y^i} f^j + \partial_{y^j} f^i = 0,$$

then

$$f^i = a^i_j y^j + b^i$$

with some constant vector b^i and skew-symmetric constant matrix (a^i_j) : $a^j_i = -a^i_j$.

Proof. Apply ∂_{y^k} to the condition of Lemma. We get

$$\partial_{y^k}\partial_{y^i} f^j + \partial_{y^k}\partial_{y^j} f^i = 0.$$

cyclic permutation of indices kij give us two more equalities. Add first two equations and subtract the third one. We get

$$2\partial_{y^i}\partial_{y^j} f^k = 0.$$

This being true for all triples of indices ijk shows that **all** second derivatives of all functions f^k are zero.

Therefore

$$f^i = \sum_j a^i_j y^j + b^i$$

with constant coefficients.

Writing down condition of Lemma for these linear functions we find that the matrix a^i_j is skew-symmetric. □

Applying this Lemma to the functions ξ^{x^i} with p_l as arguments in Lemma we have due to the equality (23.3)

$$\xi^{x^i} = a^l_i(x) p_l + b^i(x) \tag{23.6}$$

with an skew-symmetric matrix function $a^i_j(x)$ and scalar functions $b^i(x)$. This solves equations (23.3).

Substituting these expressions into the other two families of equations we present these equations in the form

$$\begin{gathered}\partial_{x^s} a^k_l(x) p_k + \partial_{x^s} b^l + \partial_{p_l}\xi^{p_s} + p_s p_k a^l_k(x) = 0,\\ p_i\xi^{p_j} + p_j\xi^{p_i} + (\partial_{x^j}\xi^{p_i} + \partial_{x^i}\xi^{p_j}) + (p_i p_k[\partial_{x^j}(a^l_k(x)p_l + b^k(x))] + p_j p_k[\partial_{x^i}(a^l_k(x)p_l + b^k(x))]) = 0.\end{gathered} \tag{23.7}$$

Rewrite first equation in the form

$$\partial_{p_l}\xi^{p_s} = -\partial_{x^s} a^k_l(x) p_k + p_s p_k a^k_l(x) - \partial_{x^s} b^l, \tag{23.8}$$

where we have used skew-symmetry of matrix a in the last term, and apply ∂_{p_m} to this formula. We get

$$\partial_{p_m}\partial_{p_l}\xi^{p_s} = -\partial_{x^s} a^m_l(x) + p_s a^m_l(x) + \delta_{sm} a^k_l p_k.$$

Switching m and l we get

$$\partial_{p_l}\partial_{p_m}\xi^{p_s} = -\partial_{x^s} a^l_m(x) + p_s a^l_m(x) + \delta_{sl} a^k_m p_k.$$

Equating terms in the right side that do not depend on p we get

$$\partial_{x^s} a^m_l(x) = \partial_{x^s} a^l_m(x) \to \partial_{x^s}(2a^m_l(x))) = 0.$$

Thus, matrix (a^m_l) is constant.

Equating terms linear by p we get

$$p_s a_l^m(x) + \delta_{sm} a_l^k p_k = p_s a_m^l(x) + \delta_{sl} a_m^k p_k.$$

For $s \neq m, l$ this gives $a_m^l = a_l^m$ and, due to the skew-symmetry of matrix a, $a_m^l = 0$.

For $s = l \neq m$ we get $p_l a_l^m = p_l a_m^l + a_m^k p_k$. From this it follows that $a_m^k = 0$ for all $k \neq l$ and that $a_m^l = 0$ as well. Finally, if $s = l = m$ we get by the skew-symmetry of a_j^i that $a_l^l = 0$. Therefore, matrix a is zero $(a_j^i) = 0$ and

$$\xi^{x^s} = b^s(x). \tag{23.9}$$

As a result, first of the equations (23.7) reads now

$$\partial_{p_l} \xi^{p_s} = -\partial_{x^s} b^l(x),$$

i.e. ξ^{p_s} depends on variables p_l linearly

$$\xi^{p_s} = -\partial_{x^s} b^l(x) p_l + h^s(x). \tag{23.10}$$

This solves the first of equations (23.7).

The second equation in (23.7) can now be written in the form

$$p_i(-\partial_{x^j} b^l(x) p_l + h^j(x)) + p_j(-\partial_{x^i} b^l(x) p_l + h^i(x)) + (\partial_{x^j}(-\partial_{x^i} b^l(x) p_l + h^i(x)) + \\ + \partial_{x^i}(-\partial_{x^j} b^l(x) p_l + h^j(x))) + (p_i \partial_{x^j} p_k b^k(x) + p_j \partial_{x^i} p_k b^k(x)) = 0, \tag{23.11}$$

or

$$p_i h^j(x) + p_j h^i(x) + (\partial_{x^j} h^i(x) + \partial_{x^i} h^j(x)) - 2\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j}(b^l(x) p_l) = 0. \tag{23.12}$$

Terms independent on p give us

$$\partial_{x^j} h^i(x) + \partial_{x^i} h^j(x) = 0,$$

for all i, j. The lemma above gives us

$$h^i(x) = \sum_j q_j^i x^j + k^i \tag{23.13}$$

with constant coefficients and $q_j^i = -q_i^j$.

Linear by p part of equality (23.12) has the form

$$p_i h^j(x) + p_j h^i(x) = 2\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j}(b^l(x) p_l). \tag{23.14}$$

Taking derivatives by p_i and then by x^l we get,

$$\partial_{p_i}: \qquad h^j(x) + \delta_i^j h^i(x) = 2\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j} b^i(x) \quad \text{no summation}, \tag{23.15}$$

and then as the coefficient of p_i (using (23.13):

$$\partial_{x^l}: \qquad q_l^j + \delta_i^j q_l^i = 2\partial_{x^l}\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j} b^i(x) \quad \text{no summation}. \tag{23.16}$$

Since the right side in the second equation(s) is symmetrical by (l, j), one has

$$q_l^j + \delta_i^j q_l^i = q_j^l + \delta_i^l q_j^i, \qquad \text{no summation}.$$

For $i = j$ last equality gives (since matrix q is skew-symmetric)

$$2q_l^i = q_i^l = -q_l^i,$$

so that for all i, l $q_l^i = 0$ and therefore,

$$h^i(x) = k^i. \tag{23.17}$$

Substituting this back to (23.14) we get

$$p_i k^j + p_j k^i = 2\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j}(b^l(x)p_l). \tag{23.18}$$

Differentiating by $p_l,\ l \neq i, j$ we get

$$\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j} b^l(x) = 0.$$

As a result, $b^l(x)$ is linear by all variables except x^l

$$b^l(x) = \sum_{k\neq l} \alpha^l_k(x^l)x^k + \beta^l(x^l). \tag{23.19}$$

Using $h^i(x) = k^i$ in (23.15) we get

$$k^j + \delta^j_i k^i = 2\partial_{x^i}\partial_{x^j} b^i(x) \quad \text{ no summation.} \tag{23.20}$$

Taking here first $i = j = l$, then $i = l \neq j = r$ we find

$$\partial^2_{x^l} b^l(x) = k^l,\ \partial_{x^r}\partial_{x^l} b^l(x) = \frac{1}{2}k^r.$$

Substituting here the expression for $b^l(x)$ from (23.9) we get the first equation in the form

$$k^l = \sum_{r\neq l} \partial^2_{x^l}\alpha^l_r x^r + \partial^2_{x^l}\beta^l(x^l).$$

Therefore, α^l_r is **linear** by x^l while

$$\beta^l = \frac{1}{2}k^l(x^l)^2 + \mu^l x^l + \nu^l.$$

The second equation, valid for $l \neq r$, gives $\frac{1}{2}k^l = \partial_{x^l}\alpha^l_r(x^l)$ and therefore

$$\alpha^l_r = \frac{1}{2}k^r x^l + \eta^l_r.$$

Combining yields the coefficients of b^i and using them in (23.19) we find

$$\xi^{x^l} = \sum_{r\neq l}(\frac{1}{2}k^r x^l + \eta^l_r)x^r + \frac{1}{2}k^l(x^l)^2 + \mu^l x^l + \nu^l = \sum_r (\frac{1}{2}k^r x^r)x^l + \sum_{r\neq l}\eta^l_r x^r + \mu^l x^l + \nu^l, \tag{23.21}$$

or, renaming coefficient $\mu^l = \eta^l_l$,

$$\xi^{x^l} = \sum_r (\frac{1}{2}k^r x^r)x^l + \sum_r \eta^l_r x^r + \nu^l. \tag{23.22}$$

The equality (23.10) with the found values of the coefficients gives us

$$\xi^{p_s} = -\sum_l \partial_{x^s}\xi^{x^l}p_l + k_s = k_s - \sum_l(\eta^l_s + \frac{1}{2}\delta^l_s\sum_r(k^r x^r) + \frac{1}{2}x^l k^s)p_l =$$
$$-\sum_l \eta^l_s p_l + \sum_r k^r\left(\delta^s_r - \frac{1}{2}\delta^s_r(\sum_l x^l p_l) - \frac{1}{2}x^r p_s\right). \tag{23.23}$$

As a result we get for a Killing vector field X the following representation with arbitrary scalar coefficients k^r, η^s_r, ν^s

$$X = \xi^{x^s}\partial_{x^s} + \xi^{p_l}\partial_{p_l} = \sum_s \left(\sum_r (\frac{1}{2}k^r x^r)x^s + \sum_r \eta^s_r x^r + \nu^s \right) \partial_{x^s} + \\ + \sum_s \left(-\sum_l \eta^l_s p_l + \sum_r k^r \left(\delta^s_r - \frac{1}{2}\delta^s_r (\sum_l x^l p_l) - \frac{1}{2}x^r p_s \right) \right) \partial_{p_s}. \quad (23.24)$$

Splitting these expressions in accordance with the different independent parameters we get the following basis of the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields:

ν^s-term

$$X_s = \partial_{x^s}, \quad (23.25)$$

η^s_r - term

$$Q^r_s = x^r\partial_{x^s} - p_s\partial_{p_r}, \quad (23.26)$$

k^i-terms

$$D^i = \frac{x^i}{2}\left[x^s\partial_{x^s} - p_l\partial_{p_l}\right] + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^l p_l))\partial_{p_i} = \frac{x^i}{2}Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^l p_l))\partial_{p_i}, \quad (23.27)$$

where

$$Q = \sum_s Q^s_s = \sum_s (x^s\partial_{x^s} - p_s\partial_{p_s}).$$

Vector fields X^i form the abelian Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{x}$ of Lie algebra of Killing vector fields $\mathfrak{k}_{\tilde{G}}$.

It is easy to see that $(n+1)^2$ vector fields Q^r_s form the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ of the type $\mathfrak{g}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ since

$$[Q^i_j, Q^p_k] = \delta^p_j Q^i_k - \delta^i_k Q^p_j$$

similar to the commutator relations of the basic matrices E^i_j of $\mathfrak{g}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$. Vector field Q generate the center of this Lie subalgebra.

We also have

$$[Q^i_j, X_s] = -\delta^i_s X_j, [Q, X_s] = -X_s,$$

so that adjoint action of $\mathfrak{q}$ on $\mathfrak{x}$ is isomorphic to the standard action of $\mathfrak{g}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Calculate commutator $[D^i, D^j]$

$$[D^i, D^j] = [\frac{x^i}{2}Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^l p_l))\partial_{p_i}, \frac{x^j}{2}Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^l p_l))\partial_{p_j}] = \frac{x^i}{2}\{\sum_s x^s(\delta^j_s Q + \frac{x^j}{2}\partial_{x^s}) + \sum_l p_l \frac{x^j}{2}\partial_{p_l} + \\ + \sum_s x^s(-\frac{p_s}{2})\partial_{p_j} - \sum_m p_m(-\frac{x^m}{2})\partial_{p_j}\} + (1 - \frac{\bar{x}\cdot\bar{p}}{2})\{\frac{x^j}{2}(-\partial_{p_i}) - \frac{x^i}{2}\partial_{p_j}\} = \\ \frac{x^i}{2}\{\frac{1}{2}Qx^j + \frac{x^j}{2}\sum_s x^s\partial_{x^s} + \frac{x^j}{2}\sum_l p_l\partial_{p_l}\} + (1 - \frac{\bar{x}\cdot\bar{p}}{2})\{-\frac{1}{2}(x^j\partial_{p_i} + x^i\partial_{p_j})\} = \\ = \frac{1}{4}Qx^i x^j + \frac{1}{4}x^i x^j \sum_s x^s\partial_{x^s} + \frac{x^i x^j}{2}\sum_l p_l\partial_{p_l} + (1 - \frac{\bar{x}\cdot\bar{p}}{2})\{-\frac{1}{2}(x^j\partial_{p_i} + x^i\partial_{p_j})\} = 0. \quad (23.28)$$

Last expression is equal zero since all its terms are **symmetrical** by (ij). To check this one can also use the relations

$$Q(1-\frac{<p,x>}{2})=0,\ [x^iQ,x^jQ]=0.$$

Thus, vector fields D^i also form the abelian Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$.

Next we calculate

$$[D^s,Q^i_j]=[\frac{x^s}{2}Q+(1-\frac{1}{2}(x^lp_l))\partial_{p_s},x^i\partial_{x^j}-p_j\partial_{p_i}]=\frac{x^s}{2}\{\sum_m x^m\delta^m_i\partial_{x^j}+\sum_l p_l\delta^j_l\partial_{p_i}\}+$$

$$+\{(1-\frac{1}{2}(x^lp_l))\delta^j_s(-\partial_{p_i})\}-x^i[\delta^s_j\frac{1}{2}Q+\frac{x^s}{2}\partial_{x^j}+(-\frac{1}{2}p_j)\partial_{p_s}]+p_j[\frac{x^s}{2}(-\partial_{p_i})-\frac{x^i}{2}\partial_{p_s}]=$$

$$\frac{x^s}{2}\{x^i\partial_{x^j}+p_j\partial_{p_i}\}-(1-\frac{\bar{x}\cdot\bar{p}}{2})\delta^j_s\partial_{p_i}\}-x^i[\delta^s_j\frac{1}{2}Q+\frac{x^s}{2}\partial_{x^j}-\frac{p_j}{2}\partial_{p_s}]-\frac{x^sp_j}{2}\partial_{p_i}-\frac{x^ip_j}{2}\partial_{p_s}=$$

$$=-(1-\frac{\bar{x}\cdot\bar{p}}{2})\delta^j_s\partial_{p_i}-\delta^s_j\frac{x^i}{2}Q=-\delta^s_jD^i,\quad(23.29)$$

or

$$[D^s,Q^i_j]=-\delta^s_jD^i,\quad(23.30)$$

so, abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}$ is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{q}$ with the same standard action as for $\mathfrak{x}$.

Notice that

$$Q|_{\mathfrak{d}}=Id_{\mathfrak{d}},\ Q|_{\mathfrak{x}}=-Id_{\mathfrak{x}}.$$

Finally,

$$[X^s,D^i]=[\partial_{x^s},\frac{x^i}{2}Q+(1-\frac{1}{2}(x^lp_l))\partial_{p_i}]=\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_s(\sum_k x^k\partial_{x^k}-\sum_l p_l\partial_{p_l})+\frac{x^i}{2}\partial_{x^s}-\frac{1}{2}p_s\partial_{p_i}=$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}(x^i\partial_{x^s}-p_s\partial_{p_i})+\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_sQ=\frac{1}{2}Q^i_s+\frac{1}{2}\delta^i_sQ.\quad(23.31)$$

Next step is to prove that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}_{\tilde{G}}$ of killing vector fields is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+2,\mathbb{R})$.

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n+2,\mathbb{R})$ of real matrices and introduce several subspaces of this Lie algebra:

The Lie subalgebra g_{n+1} of matrices

$$g=\begin{pmatrix}A&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix},\ A\in\mathfrak{gl}(n+1,R)$$

with the basis formed by matrices E^i_j having the entry 1 at the ij place and zero otherwise,

the subspace d of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}0&\bar{f}\\0&0\end{pmatrix},\ \bar{f}\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

with the basis $\{1^i\}$ of matrices having entry 1 at the place $i(n+2)$ and zero at all other places,

subspace x of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\\bar{e}&0\end{pmatrix},\ \bar{e}\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

with the basis $\{1_j\}$ of matrices having entry 1 at the place $(n+2)j$ and zero at all other places,

one-dimensional subspace of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$$

with the basis E_{**} formed by the matrix $E_{**} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}l(n+2,\mathbb{R})$ is (as the vector space) the direct sum of these four subspaces. The commutator relations between the basis introduced above are

$$[E^i_j, E^l_k] = \delta^l_j E^i_k - \delta^i_k E^l_j,\ [E^i_j, 1^k] = \delta^k_j 1^i,\ [E^i_j, 1_k] = -\delta^i_k 1_j,$$
$$[E_{**}, 1^k] = -1^k,\ [E_{**}, 1_k] = 1_k,\ [1^i, 1_j] = E^i_j - \delta^i_j E_{**}. \quad (23.32)$$

In the complexification $\mathfrak{g}l(n+2,\mathbb{C})$ of this Lie algebra consider the **real** Lie subalgebra $\hat{g}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & i\bar{f} \\ i\bar{e} & t \end{pmatrix} \quad (23.33)$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{g}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$; $\bar{f}, \bar{e} \in R^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The center of this Lie algebra is one-dimensional, formed by the matrices proportional to the unit matrix I_{n+2}. Factorization by this center defined the epimorphism $\pi : \hat{gl}(n+1,\mathbb{R}) \to \hat{sl}(n+1,R)$ onto the Lie subalgebra of traceless matrices of the form (23.33).

Define the embedding $j : \mathfrak{i}so_{\tilde{G}} \to \mathfrak{g}l(n+2,\mathbb{C})$ as follows

$$\sqrt{2}X_k \to i1_k,\ \sqrt{2}D^l \to i1^l,\ Q^i_j \to E^i_j.$$

It is easy to see that image of $\mathfrak{i}so_{\tilde{G}}$ under this embedding is the subspace of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$. The commutator relations between the basic elements X_k, D^s, Q^i_j are preserved except for the last one. We have

$$j([\sqrt{2}D^l, \sqrt{2}X_k]) = j(-Q^l_k - \delta^l_k Q) = -E^l_k - I_{n+1} = -\left(E^l_k - \delta^l_k E_{**} + I_{n+2}\right) =$$
$$[i1^l, i1_k] - I_{n+2} = [j(\sqrt{2}D^l), j(\sqrt{2}X_k)] - I_{n+2}. \quad (23.34)$$

Combining the embedding j with the projection $\pi : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}l(n+1,\mathbb{R}) \to \hat{\mathfrak{s}}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ we get **the isomorphism of Lie algebras**

$$\mathfrak{i}so_{\tilde{G}} \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{s}}l(n+1,\mathbb{R}).$$

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{s}}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ are dual to one another in the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}l(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ relative to the involution

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & \bar{f} \\ \bar{e} & t \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} A & -\bar{f} \\ -\bar{e} & t \end{pmatrix}$$

and isomorphic as Lie algebras (see [35]). Combining all the calculations above we get the proof of the following

Theorem 5. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{i}so_{\tilde{G}} \simeq \mathfrak{s}l(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ of Killing vector fields of metric $\tilde{G}$ is (as the vector space) the linear sum*

$$\mathfrak{i}so_{\tilde{G}} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathfrak{x}$$

of subalgebras

$$1)\ \mathfrak{q} = < Q^i_j = x^i \partial_{x^j} - p_j \partial_{p_i} >,$$

with the commutator relations

$$[Q^i_j, Q^p_k] = \delta^p_j Q^i_k - \delta^i_k Q^p_j,$$

Subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ *is isomorphic thus, to* $\mathfrak{g}l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$,

the abelian subalgebra

$$2)\ \mathfrak{d} =< D^i = \frac{x^i}{2} Q + (1 - \frac{1}{2}(x^l p_l))\partial_{p_i}, >$$

where $Q = \sum_i Q^i_i = \sum_i (x^i \partial_{x^i} - p_i \partial_{p_i})$ *is the generator of hyperbolic rotation* $H_t : (p, x) \to (e^t p, e^{-t} q)$.

and abelian subalgebra

$$3)\ \mathfrak{r} =< X_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} >,$$

Generators Q^i_j, X_i, D^j *satisfy to the following commutator relations*

$$[Q^i_j, X_s] = -\delta^i_s X_j;\ [Q^i_j, D^s] = \delta^s_j D^i;\ [X_s, D^i] = \frac{1}{2} Q^i_s + \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_s Q. \tag{23.35}$$

Vector fields Q^i_j, X_i, D^j *are Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian functions*

$$H_{Q^i_j} = -x^i p_j;\ H_{X_k} = -p_k;\ H_{D^s} = x^s (1 - \frac{< \bar{x}, \bar{p} >}{2}).$$

References

[1] V.Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer, 1989.

[2] V.Arnold, A.Givental, *Symplectic Geometry*, in "Dynamical Systems IV", Springer, 1988.

[3] L.Benayoun, *Methodes geometriques pour l'etude des systemes thermodynamiques et la generation d'equations d'etat*, These, INPG, Grenoble, 1999.

[4] R. S. Berry, P. Salamon, E.Ihrig, *A Group of coordinate transformations which preserve the metric of Weinhold*, J.Math.Phys. 24(10), 1983,2515-2520.

[5] D. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2002.

[6] H. Callen, *Thermodynamics*, Whiley, 1960.

[7] C. Caratheodory, *Unterschungen uber die Grundlagen der Thermodynamyk*, Gesammelte Mathematische Werke, B.2., Munchen, 1955, S. 131-177.

[8] L. Diosi, P.Salamon, *From Statistical Distances to Minimally Dissipative Processes*, in "Thermodynamics of Energy Conversion and Transport" ed. S.Sieniutycz, A.de Vos., Springer, 2000, pp.286-318.

[9] J.W. Gibbs, *The Scientific Papers*, vol.1, Dover Publ.,1961.

[10] M.Goze, P.Piu, *Classification des Metriques Invariantes a Gauche sur le Groupe de Heisenberg*, Rendiconti del circolo matematico di Palermo, Ser.II, T. XXXIX (1990), pp.299-306.

[11] H. Heemeyer, *Pseudoriemannsche Faserbundel und ihre Anwendung in der allegemeinrealitivistischen Nichtgleichgewichtsthermodynamik*, Diss. Tech. Univ. Berlin, Wissenschaft und Technik Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[12] R. Hermann, *Geometry, Physics and Systems*, Dekker, N.Y., 1973.

[13] K.H. Hoffman, B.Andressen, P.Salamon, *Measures of dissipation*, Physical Review A, Vol.39,n.7,1989, pp. 3618-3621.

[14] H. Janyszek, *On the Riemannian Metric Structure in the Classical Statisticsl Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, Reports of Mathematical Physics, v.24, No.1, 1986, pp. 1-10.

[15] H. Janyszek, R. Mrugala *Geometrical Structure of the state space in classical statisticsl and phenomenological thermodynamics*, Reports of Mathematical Physics, v.27, No.2, 1989, pp. 145-159.

[16] A. Kirillov, *Local Lie algebras*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, v.31, n4, pp.57-76, 1976 (in Russian).

[17] S.Kobayashi, K.Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Wiley (Interscience), N.Y., Vol.I,1963; Vol.II, 1969.

[18] P. Libermann., C.-M. Marle, *Differential Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D.Reidel, 1987.

[19] R. Mrugala, *Geometrical Formulation of Equilibrium Phenomenological Thermodynamics*, Reports of Mathematical Physics, v.14, No.3, 1978, pp.419-427.
[20] R. Mrugala, J.Nulton, J.Schon, P.Salamon *Contact structure in thermodynamical theory*, Reports of Mathematical Physics, v.29, No.1, 1991, pp.109-121.
[21] R. Mrugala, *On a Riemannian Metric on Contact Thermodynamic Spaces*, Reports of Mathematical Physics, v.38, No.3, 1996, pp.339-348.
[22] R. Mrugala, *Geometrical Methods in Thermodynamics*, in "Thermodynamics of Energy Conversion and Transport" ed. S.Sieniutycz, A.de Vos., Springer, 2000, pp.257-285.
[23] J. Nulton, P. Salamon,*Geometry of ideal gas*, Physical Review A, v.31, n.4, pp. 2520-2524, 1985.
[24] B. O'Meil, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1983.
[25] A. Onishchik, E. Vinberg,(Eds), *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, EMS,v.41, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
[26] M.Postnikov, *Geometry VI. Riemannian Geometry*, EMS, v.91, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
[27] S.Preston, *On the indefinite metrics compatible with the sympectic and contact structures*, in preparation.
[28] G. Ruppeiner,*Riemannian geometry in thermodynamic fluctuation theory*, Reviews of Modern Physics, v.67, n.3, 1995, pp.605-659.
[29] G. Ruppeiner,*Thermodynamical Curvature: Origin and Meaning*, in "Nonequilibrium Theory and Extremum Principles, ed. by S.Sieniutycz, P.Salamon, Taylor and Francis, N.Y., 1990, pp.129-174.
[30] P. Salamon, K.H. Andresson, P.Gait, S. Berry, *The significance of Weinhold Length* , J. Chem. Phys., v.73, n. 2, 1980, 1001-1002.
[31] P. Salamon, S. Berry, *Thermodynamical Length and dissipated Availability*, Physics Review letters, v.51, n. 13, 1983, 1127-1130
[32] P.Salamon, J. Nulton, E. Ihrig, J.Chem. Phys., 80, 436 (1984).
[33] R.Thom, *Ensembles et morphismes stratifies*, Bull. Amer. Maath. Soc.,(N.S0,75,1969, 240-284.
[34] F. Weinhold, *Metric Geometry of equilibrium thermodynamics*, p. I-V,Journal of Chemical Physics, v.63, n.6,2479-2483, 2484-2487, 2488-2495,2496-2501,1976, v.65,n.2,pp.559-564,1976.
[35] J. A. Wolf, A. Gray, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms. II.* J. Differential Geometry, v.2, 1968, pp.115–159.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA
E-mail address: serge@mth.pdx.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,USA
E-mail address: jimavargo@hotmail.com